

THE FIRST CHAPTER.

... o ...

1. Had: The manifestation of Nuit.

THE OLD COMMENT.

1. Compare II.!, the complement of this verse. In Nu is Had concealed; by Had is Nu manifested. Nu being 56 and Had 9, their conjunction results in 65, Adonai, the Holy Guardian Angel. Also Hoor, who combines the force of the Sun with that of Mars. Adonai is primarily Solar, but 65 is a number sacred to Mars.

See the Sepher Sephiroth and "The Wake World" in "Konx Om Pax" for further details on 65.

Note moreover, the sixty-five pages of the MS. of Liber Legis.

Or, counting NV 56, Had 1^o, we get 66, which is Σ(1-11). Had is further the centre of the Key-Word ABRAHADABRA.

THE NEW COMMENT.

The theogony of our Law is entirely scientific. Nuit is Matter, Hadit is Motion, in their full physical

sense. They are the Tao and Teh of Chinese Philosophy; or, to put it very simply, the Noun and Verb in grammar. Our central Truth - beyond other philosophies - is that these two infinities cannot exist apart. This extensive subject must be studied in our other writings, notably Berashith, my own Magical Diaries, especially those of 1919, 1920 and 1921, and The Book of Wisdom or Folly. See also "The Soldier and the Hunchback". Further information concerning Nuit and Hadit is given in the course of this Book; but I must here mention that the Brother ~~previously~~
in connexion with the Wizard Amadanah" etc. mentioned (Shmuel bar Aiwas) identifies them with A N U and A D A D the supreme Mother and Father deities of the Sumerians. Taken in connexion with the AIWAZ identification, this is very striking indeed.

It is also to be considered that Nu is connected with North, while Had is Sad, Set, Satan, Sat (equals 'Being' in Sanskrit), South. He is then the Sun, one point concentrating Space, as also is any other star. The word ABRAHA-DABRA is from Abrasa, ^X Father Sun, which adds to 365. For the North-South antithesis see Fabre d'Olivet's "Hermeneutic Interpretation of the Origin of the Social State in Man". Note "Sax" also as a Rock, or Stone, whence the sym-

* The Proton and the Electron, in a metaphysical sense, suggest close analogies.

bol of the Cubical Stone, the Mountain Abiegnus, and so forth. Nu is also reflected in Naus, Ship, etc., and that whole symbolism of Hollow Space which is familiar to all. There is also a question of identifying Nu with On, Noah, Oannes, Jonah, John, Dianus, Diana, and so on. But these identifications are all partial only, different facets of the Diamond Truth. We may neglect all these questions, and remain in the simplicity of this Her own Book.

Extract from A.L. diary circa Sept 23.

"N hides the individual in infinity".

the Diamond Truth. We may neglect all
and remain in the simplicity of this He

extract from A. L. diary circa Sept²³

"N hides the individual in infinity".

bol of the Cubical Stone, the Mountain Abiegnus, and so forth. Nu is also reflected in Naus, Ship, etc., and that whole symbolism of Hollow Space which is familiar to all. There is also a question of identifying Nu with On, Noah, Oannes, Jonah, John, Dianus, Diana, and so on. But these identifications are all partial only, different facets of the Diamond Truth. We may neglect all these questions, and remain in the simplicity of this Her own Book.

Excerpt from A.L. diary circa Sept 23.

"Nu hides the individual in infinity".

Ackad Vs A-C 26 June 21

Be the Company, the Solar Spermatazoa, within the Virgin
Womb in which we rest.

143

2. The unveiling of the company of heaven.

THE OLD COMMENT.

2. This book is a new revelation, or unveiling of the
holy ones.

THE NEW COMMENT.

This explains the general theme of this revelation:
gives the Dramatis Personae, so to speak.

It is, cosmographically, the conception of the two
Ultimate Ideas: Space, and That which occupies Space.

It will however appear later that these two ideas
may be resolved into one, that of Matter; with Space, its
'Condition' or 'form', included therein. This leaves the
idea of 'Motion' for Hadit, whose interplay with Nuit
makes the Universe.

Time should perhaps be considered as a particular
kind or dimension of Space.

Further, this verse is to be taken with the next.
The 'company of heaven' is Mankind, and its 'unveiling'
is the assertion of the independent godhead of every man
and every woman !

Further, as Khabs (see verse 8) is "Star", there is

^{1.} In "Berashith" all qualities soever are considered as so
many dimensions. I see no reason, 19 years later, for
receding from this view.

Ackad 10 A-C 26 June 21

Be the Company. The Solar Spermatagona, within the Virgin
Womb in which we rest.

2. The unveiling of the company of heaven.

THE OLD COMMENT.

2. This book is a new revelation, or unveiling of the
holy ones.

THE NEW COMMENT.

This explains the general theme of this revelation
gives the Dramatis Personae, so to speak.

It is, cosmographically, the conception of the two
Ultimate Ideas: Space, and That which occupies Space.

It will however appear later that these two ideas
may be resolved into one, that of Matter; with Space,
'Condition' or 'form', included therein. This leaves
idea of 'Motion' for Hadit, whose interplay with Nuit

Acked to A-C 26 June 21

Be the Company, the solar Spermatazoa, within the Virgin
Womb in which we rest.

143

2. The unveiling of the company of heaven.

THE OLD COMMENT.

2. This book is a new revelation, or unveiling of the
holy ones.

THE NEW COMMENT.

This explains the general theme of this revelation;
gives the Dramatis Personae, so to speak.

It is, cosmographically, the conception of the two
Ultimate Ideas: Space, and That which occupies Space.

It will however appear later that these two ideas
may be resolved into one, that of Matter; with Space, its
'Condition' or 'form', included therein. This leaves the
idea of 'Motion' for Hadit, whose interplay with Nuit
makes the Universe.

Time should perhaps be considered as a particular
kind or dimension of Space.¹

Further, this verse is to be taken with the next.
The 'company of heaven' is Mankind, and its 'unveiling'
is the assertion of the independent godhead of every man
and every woman !

Further, as Khabs (see verse 8) is "Star", there is

¹ In "Berashith" all qualities soever are considered as so
many dimensions. I see no reason, 19 years later, for
receding from this view.

a further meaning; this Book is to reveal the Secret Self
of a man, i.e. to initiate him.

Achad 16 A.C. 26 June 21

Microcosmic Stars of the Sun and Moon are Higher Stars
are the Stars of the Macrocosm.

145

3. Every man and every woman is a star.

THE OLD COMMENT.

3. This should not be understood in the spiritualistic sense. It means that in each person is the sublime starry nature, a consciousness to be attained by the prescribed methods.

(Yet it may mean some real connection between a given person and a given star. Why not? Still, this is not in my knowledge. See Liber 418.)

THE NEW COMMENT.

This thesis is fully treated in The Book of Wisdom or Folly. Its main statement is that each human being is an Element of the Cosmos, self-determined and supreme, co-equal with all other Gods.

From this the Law "Do what thou wilt" follows logically. One star influences another by attraction, of course; but these are incidents of self-predestined orbits. There is however a mystery of the planets, revolving about a star of whom they are parts; but I shall not discuss it fully in this place.

Man is the Middle Kingdom. The Great Kingdom is

Achad to A-C 26 June 21

Microscopic Stars of the Sun and Moon whose Higher Selves
are the Stars of the Macrocosm.

145

3. Every man and every woman is a star.

THE OLD COMMENT.

3. This should not be understood in the spiritualistic sense. It means that in each person is the sublime starry nature, a consciousness to be attained by the prescribed methods.

(Yet it may mean some real connection between a given person and a given star. Why not? Still, this is not in my knowledge. See Liber 418.)

THE NEW COMMENT.

Achad to A-C 26 June 21

Microscopic Stars of the Sun and Moon whose Higher Selves
are the Stars of the Macrocosm.

145

3. Every man and every woman is a star.

THE OLD COMMENT.

3. This should not be understood in the spiritualistic sense. It means that in each person is the sublime starry nature, a consciousness to be attained by the prescribed methods.

(Yet it may mean some real connection between a given person and a given star. Why not? Still, this is not in my knowledge. See Liber 418.)

THE NEW COMMENT.

The Sun and Moon whose Higher Se
Maersoom.

Achad vs A.C. 26 June 21

Microcosmic Stars of the Sun and Moon whose Higher Selves
are the Stars of the Macrocosm.

145

3. Every man and every woman is a star.

~~THE OLD COMMENT.~~

3. This should not be understood in the spiritualistic sense. It means that in each person is the sublime starry nature, a consciousness to be attained by the prescribed methods.

(Yet it may mean some real connection between a given person and a given star. Why not? Still, this is not in my knowledge. See Liber 448.)

~~THE NEW COMMENT.~~

This thesis is fully treated in The Book of Wisdom or Folly. Its main statement is that each human being is an Element of the Cosmos, self-determined and supreme, co-equal with all other Gods.

From this the Law "Do what thou wilt" follows logically. One star influences another by attraction, of course; but these are incidents of self-predestined orbits. There is however a mystery of the planets, revolving about a star of whom they are parts; but I shall not discuss it fully in this place.

Man is the Middle Kingdom. The Great Kingdom is

Heaven, with each star as an unit; the Little Kingdom is the Molecule, with each Electron as an unit. (The Ratio of these three is regularly geometrical, each being 10^{22} times greater in size than its neighbour.)

See "The Book of the Great Auk" for the demonstration that each 'star' is the Centre of the Universe to itself, and that a 'star', simple, original, absolute, can add to its omnipotence, omniscience and omnipresence without ceasing to be itself: that its one way to do this is to gain experience, and that therefore it enters into combinations in which its true Nature is for awhile disguised, even from itself. Analogously, an atom of carbon may pass through myriad Proteus-phases, appearing in Chalk, Chloroform, Sugar, Sap, Brain and Blood, not recognizable as "itself" the black amorphous solid, but recoverable as such, unchanged by its adventures.

This theory is the only one which explains why the Absolute limited itself, and why It does not recognize Itself during its cycle of incarnations. It disposes of "Evil" and the Origin of Evil; without denying Reality to "Evil", or insulting our daily observation and our common sense.

*omitted from the
1st version*

*A.C.
(with one or two elucidatory insertions)*

I here quote the original note originally made by Me on this subject.

May 14, 1919, 6.30 p.m.

All elements must at one time have been separate - that would be the case with great heat. Now when atoms get to the sun, when we get to the sun, we get that immense, extreme heat, and all the elements are themselves again. Imagine that each atom of each element possesses the memory of all his adventures in combination. By the way, that atom, fortified with that memory, would not be the same atom; yet it is, because it has gained nothing from anywhere except this memory. Therefore, by the lapse of time and by virtue of memory, *(although originally an infinite Perfectin)* a thing _{could} become something more than itself; and thus a real development is possible. One can then see a reason for any element deciding to go through this series of incarnations (God, that was a magnificent conception!) because so, and only so, can he go; and he *of His own Reality of Perfectin* suffers the lapse of memory, which he has during these incarnations, because he knows he will come through unchanged.

Therefore you can have an infinite number of gods, individual and equal though diverse, each one supreme and utterly indestructible. This is also the only explanation of

omitted from the
1st version

A.C.
(with one or two elucidatory insertions)

I here quote the original note originally made by
on this subject.

May 14, 1919, 6.30 p.m.

All elements must at one time have been separate - that would be the case with great heat. Now when atoms get to the sun, when we get to the sun, we get that immense heat, and all the elements are themselves again. Imagine that each atom of each element possesses the memory of all his adventures in combination. By the way, the atom, fortified with that memory, would not be the same yet it is, because it has gained nothing from anywhere except this memory. Therefore by the lapse of time and by virtue of memory, a thing ^(although originally an Infinite Perfectin) could become something more than itself; and thus a real development is possible. One can then see a reason for any element deciding to go through this series of incarnations (God, that was a magnificent conception!) because so, and only so, can he go; and he ^{of his own Reality of Perfectin} suffers the lapse of memory, which he has during these i

A.C.

(with one or two elucidatory insertions)

*omitted from the
1st version*

I here quote the original note originally made by Me on this subject.

May 14, 1919, 6.30 P.M.

All elements must at one time have been separate - that would be the case with great heat. Now when atoms get to the sun, when we get to the sun, we get that immense, extreme heat, and all the elements are themselves again. Imagine that each atom of each element possesses the memory of all his adventures in combination. By the way, that atom, fortified with that memory, would not be the same atom; yet it is, because it has gained nothing from anywhere except this memory. Therefore by the lapse of time and by virtue of memory, a thing ^(although originally an infinite Perfectio) could become something more than itself; and thus a real development is possible. One can then see a reason for any element deciding to go through this series of incarnations (God, that was a magnificent conception!) because so, and only so, can he go; and he ^{of His own Reality of Perfectio} suffers the lapse of memory, which he has during these incarnations, because he knows he will come through unchanged.

Therefore you can have an infinite number of gods, individual and equal though diverse, each one supreme and utterly indestructible. This is also the only explanation of

how a being could create a world in which war, evil, etc., exist. Evil is only an appearance because, like "good", it cannot affect the substance itself, but only multiply its combinations. This is something the same as mystic monism, but the objection ^{to that theory} is that God has to create things which are all parts of himself, so that their interplay is false. If we presuppose many elements, their interplay is natural. It is no objection to this theory to ask who made the elements - the elements are at least there; and God, when you look for him, is not there. Theism is obscurum per obscurius. A male star is built up from the centre outwards, a female star from the circumference inwards. This is what is meant when we say that woman has no soul. It explains fully the difference between the sexes.

t affect the substance itself, but only
nations. This is something the same as
s, but the objection ^{to that theory} is that God has to
are all parts of himself, so that their
If we presuppose many elements, their
al. It is no objection to this theory t
lements - the elements are at least ther
you look for him, is not there. Theism
oscurius. A male star is built up from
rds, a female star from the circumference
is what is meant when we say that woman
plains fully the difference between the

how a being could create a world in which war, evil, etc., exist. Evil is only an appearance because, like "good", it cannot affect the substance itself, but only multiply its combinations. This is something the same as mystic monotheism, but the objection ^{to that theory} is that God has to create things which are all parts of himself, so that their interplay is false. If we presuppose many elements, their interplay is natural. It is no objection to this theory to ask who made the elements - the elements are at least there; and God, when you look for him, is not there. Theism is obscurum per obscurius. A male star is built up from the centre outwards, a female star from the circumference inwards. This is what is meant when we say that woman has no soul. It explains fully the difference between the sexes.

Extracts from a commentary on
The Book of the Law

149

4. Every number is infinite; there is no difference.

~~THE OLD COMMENT.~~

~~4. The limited is a mere mask; the illimitable is the only truth.~~

~~THE NEW COMMENT.~~ ARY

This is a great and holy mystery. Although each star has its own number, each number is equal and supreme. Every man and every woman is not only a part of God, but the Ultimate God. "The Centre is everywhere and the circumference nowhere." The old definition of God takes new meaning for us. Each one of us is the One God. This can only be understood by the initiate; one must acquire certain high states of consciousness to appreciate it.

I have tried to put it simply in the note to the last verse. I may add that in the Trance called by me the "Star-Sponge" - see my ^{note to p. 59} diaries - this apprehension of the Universe is seen as an astral Vision. It began as "Nothingness with Sparkles" in 1916 E.V. by Lake Pasquaney in New Hampshire, U.S.A., and developed into fullness on various subsequent occasions. Each 'star' is connected directly with every other star, and the Space being Without

Limit (Ain Soph) the Body of Nuith, any one star is as much the Centre as any other. Each man instinctively feels that he is the Centre of the Cosmos, and philosophers have jeered at his presumption. But it was he that was precisely right. The yokel is no more 'petty' than the King, nor the earth than the Sun. Each simple elemental Self is supreme, Very God of Very God. Ay, in this Book is Truth almost insufferably splendid, for Man has veiled himself too long from his own glory: he fears the abyss, the ageless Absolute. But Truth shall make him free!

*From what in
omitted from the
first version.*

It must be understood from the beginning that this book contains the keys of all the knowledge necessary for the operation of the Magical Formulae of the world during the Aeon which it initiates. In this very early verse is already given a Master Key to mathematics and metaphysics. On applying this to current problems of thought, it will be discovered that the long-fast doors fly open at a touch.

*From what to
159 omitted
from the
shorter
version.*

Let us briefly examine the implications of this statement. It should not occasion surprise to find that the Book of the Law not only anticipates the conclusions of the greatest modern mathematicians like Poincaré, but goes beyond them. It was necessary that this should be the case,

From text in
omitted from the
first version.

the ageles

It mu

book conta

the operat

the Aeon

already g

On applyin

be discove

From text in
p. 154 omitted

Let u

ment. It

Book of th

greatest

beyond them.

Limit (Ain Soph) the Body of Nuith, any one star is as much the Centre as any other. Each man instinctively feels that he is the Centre of the Cosmos, and philosophers have jeered at his presumption. But it was he that was precisely right. The yokel is no more 'petty' than the King, nor the earth than the Sun. Each simple elemental Self is supreme, Very God of Very God. Ay, in this Book is Truth almost insufferably splendid, for Man has veiled himself too long from his own glory: he fears the abyss, the ageless Absolute. But Truth shall make him free!

*from here to
omitted from the
final version*

It must be understood from the beginning that this book contains the keys of all the knowledge necessary for the operation of the Magical Formulae of the world during the Aeon which it initiates. In this very early verse is already given a Master Key to mathematics and metaphysics. On applying this to current problems of thought, it will be discovered that the long-fast doors fly open at a touch.

*Even here to
p 119 omitted
from the
final version*

Let us briefly examine the implications of this statement. It should not occasion surprise to find that the Book of the Law not only anticipates the conclusions of the greatest modern mathematicians like Poincaré, but goes beyond them. It was necessary that this should be the case,

so that the book might be, beyond question, the expression of a mind possessed of superior powers to any incarnated mind soever.

It may clarify the subject if we venture to paraphrase the text. The first statement "Every number is infinite" is, on the face of it, a contradiction in terms. But that is only because of the accepted idea of a number as not being a thing in itself but merely a term in a series homogeneous in character. All orthodox mathematical argument is based on definitions involving this conception. For example, it is fundamental to admit the identity of 2 plus 1 with 1 plus 2. The Book of the Law presents an altogether different conception of the nature of number.

Mathematical ideas involve what is called a continuum, which is, superficially at least, of a different character to the physical continuum. For instance, in the physical continuum, the eye can distinguish between the lengths of a one-inch stick and a two-inch stick, but not between those which measure respectively one thousand miles and one thousand miles and one inch, though the difference in each case is equally an inch. The inch difference is either perceptible or not perceptible, according to the conditions.

Similarly, the eye can distinguish either the one-inch or the two-inch stick from one of an inch and a half. But we cannot continue this process indefinitely - we can always reach a point where the extremes are distinguishable from each other but their mean from neither of the extremes. Thus, in the physical continuum, if we have three terms; A, B, and C, A appears equal to B, and B to C, yet C appears greater than A. Our reason tells us that this conclusion is an absurdity, that we have been deceived by the grossness of our perceptions. It is useless for us to invent instruments which increase the accuracy of our observations, for though they enable us to distinguish between the three terms of our series, and so restore the theoretical Hierarchy, we can always continue the process of division until we arrive at another series: A¹, B¹, C¹, where A¹ and C¹ are distinguishable from each other, but where neither is distinguishable ^{from} B¹.

On the above grounds, modern thinkers have endeavoured to create a distinction between the mathematical and the physical continua, yet it should surely be obvious that the defect in our organs of sense, which is responsible for the difficulty, shows that our method of observation debars us

from appreciating the true nature of things by this method of observation.

However, in the case of the mathematical continuum, its character is such that we can continue indefinitely the process of division between any two mathematical expressions soever, without interfering in any way with the regularity of the process, or creating a condition in which two terms become indistinguishable from each other. The mathematical continuum, moreover, is not merely a question of series of integral numbers, but of other types of numbers, which, like integers, express relation between existing ideas, yet are not measurable in terms of that series. Such numbers are themselves parts of a continuum of their own, which interpenetrates the series of integers without touching it, at least necessarily.

For example; the tangents of angles made by the separation of two lines from coincidence to perpendicularity, increases constantly from zero to infinity. But ^{almost} the only integral value is found at the angle of 45° where it is unity.

It may be said that there is an infinite number of such series, each possessing the same property of infinite

from appreciating the true nature of things by this method of observation.

However, in the case of the mathematical continuum, its character is such that we can continue indefinitely the process of division between any two mathematical expressions soever, without interfering in any way with the regularity of the process, or creating a condition in which two terms become indistinguishable from each other. The mathematical continuum, moreover, is not merely a question of series of integral numbers, but of other types of numbers, which, like integers, express relation between existing ideas, yet are not measurable in terms of that series. Such numbers are themselves parts of a continuum of their own, which interpenetrates the series of integers without touching it, at least necessarily.

For example; the tangents of angles made by the separation of two lines from coincidence to perpendicularity, increases constantly from zero to infinity. But ^{almost} the only integral value is found at the angle of 45° where it is unity.

It may be said that there is an infinite number of such series, each possessing the same property of infinite

divisibility. The ninety tangents of angles differing by one degree between zero and ninety may be multiplied sixty fold by taking the minute instead of the degree as the coefficient of the progression, and these again sixty fold by introducing the second to divide the minute. So on ad infinitum.

All these considerations depend upon the assumption that every number is no more than a statement of relation. The new conception, indicated by the Book of the Law, is of course in no way contradictory of the orthodox view; but it adds to it in the most practically important manner. A statistician computing the birth-rate of the eighteenth century makes no special mention of the birth of Napoleon. This does not invalidate his results; but it demonstrates how exceedingly limited is their scope even with regard to their own object, for the birth of Napoleon had more influence on the death-rate than any other phenomenon included in his calculations.

A short digression is necessary. There may be some // who are still unaware of the fact, but the mathematical and physical sciences are in no sense concerned with absolute truth, but only with the relations between observed phenom-

ena and the observer. The statement that the acceleration of falling bodies is thirty-two feet per second, is only the roughest of approximations at the best. In the first place, it applies to earth. As most people know, in the Moon the rate is only one-sixth as great. But, even on earth, it differs in a marked manner between the poles and the equator, and not only so, but it is affected by so small a matter as the neighbourhood of a mountain.

It is similarly inaccurate to speak of "repeating" an experiment. The exact conditions never recur. One cannot boil water twice over. The water is not the same, and the observer is not the same. When a man says that he is sitting still, he forgets that he is whirling through space with vertiginous rapidity.

It is possibly such considerations that led earlier thinkers to admit that there was no expectation of finding truth in anything but mathematics, and they rashly supposed that the apparent ineluctability of her laws constituted a guarantee of their coherence with truth. But mathematics is entirely a matter of convention, no less so than the rules of Chess or Baccarat. When we say that "two straight lines cannot enclose a space", we mean no more than that we

are unable to think of them as doing so. The truth of the statement depends, consequently, on that of the hypothesis that our minds bear witness to truth. Yet the insane man may be unable to think that he is not the victim of mysterious persecution. We find that no reason for believing him. It is useless to reply that mathematical truths receive universal consent, because they do not. It is a matter of elaborate and tedious training to persuade even the few people whom we teach of the truth of the simplest theorems in Geometry. There are very few people living who are convinced - or even aware - of the more recondite results of analysis. It is no reply to this criticism to say that all men can be convinced if they are sufficiently trained, for who is to guarantee that such training does not warp the mind?

But when we have brushed away these preliminary objections, we find that the nature of the statement itself is not, and cannot be, more than a statement of correspondences between our ideas. In the example chosen, we have five ideas: those of duality, of straightness, of a line, of enclosing, and of space. None of these are more than ideas. Each one is meaningless until it is defined as cor-

The ideas of straight lines, enclosure, and space are all mental, and the axiom is merely a statement of no limitation of my power to deal with them.

blems in Geometry. There are very few people living who are convinced - or even aware - of the more recondite results of analysis. It is no reply to this criticism to say that all men can be convinced if they are sufficiently trained, for who is to guarantee that such training does not warp the mind?

But when we have brushed away these preliminary objections, we find that the nature of the statement itself is not, and cannot be, more than a statement of correspondences between our ideas. In the example chosen, we have five ideas: those of duality, of straightness, of a line, of enclosing, and of space. None of these are more than ideas. Each one is meaningless until it is defined as cor-

The ideas of straight lines, enclosure, and space are all mental, and the axiom is merely a statement of no limitation of my power to deal with them.

00659

are unable to think of them as doing so. The truth of the statement depends, consequently, on that of the hypothesis that our minds bear witness to truth. Yet the insane man may be unable to think that he is not the victim of mysterious persecution. We find that no reason for believing him. It is useless to reply that mathematical truths receive universal consent, because they do not. It is a matter of elaborate and tedious training to persuade even the few people whom we teach of the truth of the simplest theorems in Geometry. There are very few people living who are convinced - or even aware - of the more recondite results of analysis. It is no reply to this criticism to say that all men can be convinced if they are sufficiently trained, for who is to guarantee that such training does not warp the mind?

But when we have brushed away these preliminary objections, we find that the nature of the statement itself is not, and cannot be, more than a statement of correspondences between our ideas. In the example chosen, we have five ideas: those of duality, of straightness, of a line, of enclosing, and of space. None of these are more than ideas. Each one is meaningless until it is defined as cor-

The ideas of straight lines, enclosure, and space are all mental, and the axiom is merely a statement of no limitation of my power to deal with them.

responding in a certain manner to certain other ideas. We cannot define any word soever, except by identifying it with two or more equally undefined words. To define it by a single word would evidently constitute a tautology.

We are thus forced to the conclusion that all investigation may be stigmatized as *obscurum per obscurius*. Logically, our position is even worse. We define A as BC, where B is DE, and C is FG. Not only does the process increase the number of our unknown quantities in Geometrical progression at every step, but we must ultimately arrive at a point where the definition of Z involves the term A. Not only is all argument confined within a vicious circle, but so is the definition of the terms on which any argument must be based.

It might be supposed that the above chain of reasoning made all conclusions impossible. But this is only true when we investigate the ultimate validity of our propositions. We can rely on water boiling at 100° Centigrade, although, for mathematical accuracy, water never boils twice running at precisely the same temperature, and although, logically, the term water is an incomprehensible mystery.

1. In revising this comment, I note with amusement that it had escaped me that 100° C is by definition the temperature at which water boils! I have seen it boil at about 84° C on the Baltoro Glacier, and determined my height above sea-level by observing the boiling-point so often that I had quite forgotten the original conditions of Celsius.

To return to our so-called axiom: Two straight lines cannot enclose a space. It has been one of the most important discoveries of modern mathematics, that this statement, even if we assume the definition of the various terms employed, is strictly relative, not absolute; and that common sense is impotent to confirm it as in the case of the boiling water. For Bolyai, Lobatschewsky, and Riemann have shown conclusively that a consistent system of geometry can be erected on any arbitrary axiom soever. If one chooses to assume that the sum of the interior angles of a triangle is either greater than or less than two right angles, instead of equal to them, we can construct two new systems of Geometry, each perfectly consistent with itself, and we possess no means soever for deciding which of the three represents truth.

I may illustrate this point by a simple analogy. We are accustomed to assert that we go from France to China, a form of expression which assumes that those countries are stationary, while we are mobile. But the fact might be equally well expressed by saying that France left us and China came to us. In either case there is no implication of absolute motion, for the course of the earth through

space is not taken into account. We implicitly refer to a standard of repose which, in point of fact, we know not to exist. When I say that the chair in which I am sitting has remained stationary for the last hour, I mean only "stationary in respect to myself and my house." In reality, the earth's rotation has carried it over one thousand miles, and the earth's course some seventy thousand miles, from its previous position. All that we can expect of any statement is that it should be coherent with regard to a series of assumptions which we know perfectly well to be false and arbitrary.

It is commonly imagined, by those who have not examined the nature of the evidence, that our experience furnishes a criterion by which we may determine which of the possible symbolic representations of Nature is the true one. They suppose that Euclidian Geometry is in conformity with Nature because the actual measurements of the interior angles of a triangle tell us that their sum is in fact equal to two right angles, just as Euclid tells us that theoretical considerations declare to be the case. They forget that the instruments which we use for our measurements are themselves conceived of as in conformity with the principles of Euclidian Geometry. In other words, they measure ten

yards with a piece of wood about which they really know nothing but that its length is one-tenth of the ten yards in question.

The fallacy should be obvious. The most ordinary reflection should make it clear that our results depend upon all sorts of conditions. If we inquire, "What is the length of the thread of quicksilver in a thermometer?", we can only reply that it depends on the temperature of the instrument. In fact, we judge temperature by the difference of the coefficients of expansion due to heat of the two substances, glass and mercury.

Again, the divisions of the scale of the thermometer depend upon the temperature of boiling water, which is not a fixed thing. It depends on the pressure of the earth's atmosphere, which varies (according to time and to place) to the extent of over twenty per cent. Most people who talk of "scientific accuracy" are quite ignorant of elementary facts of this kind.

It will be said, however, that having defined a yard as the length of a certain bar deposited in the Mint in London, under given conditions of temperature and pressure, we are at least in a position to measure the length of oth-

er objects by comparison, directly or indirectly, with that standard. In a rough and ready way, that is more or less the case. But if it should occur that the length of things in general were halved or doubled, we could not possibly be aware of the fact. The same considerations apply to all the other so-called laws of Nature. We have no means soever of determining even so simple a matter as to whether one of two events happens before or after the other.

Let us take an instance. It is well known that the light of the sun requires some eight minutes to reach the earth. Simultaneous phenomena in the two bodies would therefore appear to be separated in time to that extent; and, from a mathematical standpoint, the same discrepancy theoretically exists, even if we suppose the two bodies in question to be only a few yards one more remote than the other. Recent consideration of these facts has shown the impossibility of determining the fact of priority, so that it may be just as reasonable to assert that a dagger-thrust is caused by a wound as vice versa. Lewis Carroll has an amusing parable to this effect in "Through the Looking-Glass", which work, by the way, with its predecessor, is

1. Simultaneity, closely considered, possesses no meaning soever. See A.S. Eddington "Space, Time, and Gravitation", p. 51

er objects by comparison, directly or indirectly, with that standard. In a rough and ready way, that is more or less the case. But if it should occur that the length of things in general were halved or doubled, we could not possibly be aware of the fact. The same considerations apply to all the other so-called laws of Nature. We have no means soever of determining even so simple a matter as to whether one of two events happens before or after the other.

Let us take an instance. It is well known that the light of the sun requires some eight minutes to reach the earth. Simultaneous phenomena in the two bodies would therefore appear to be separated in time to that extent; and, from a mathematical standpoint, the same discrepancy theoretically exists, even if we suppose the two bodies in question to be only a few yards one more remote than the other. Recent consideration of these facts has shown the impossibility of determining the fact of priority, so that it may be just as reasonable to assert that a dagger-thrust is caused by a wound as vice versa. Lewis Carroll has an amusing parable to this effect in "Through the Looking-Glass", which work, by the way, with its predecessor, is

1. Simultaneity, closely considered, possesses no meaning soever. See A.S. Eddington "Space, Time, and Gravitation", p. 51

packed with examples of philosophical paradox.⁴

We may now return to our text, "Every number is infinite." The fact that every number is a term in a mathematical continuum is no more an adequate definition than if we were to describe a picture as "number So-and-So in the catalogue. Every number is a thing in itself,² possessing an infinite number of properties peculiar to itself.

Let us consider, for a moment, the numbers 8 and 9. 8 is the number of cubes measuring one inch each way in a cube which measures two inches each way; while 9 is the number of squares measuring one inch each way in a square measuring three inches each way. There is a sort of reciprocal correspondence between them in this respect.

2. If I strike a billiard ball, and it moves, both my will and its motion have causes long antecedent to the act. I may consider both my Work and its reaction as twin effects of the eternal Universe. The moved arm and ball are part of a state of the Cosmos which resulted necessarily from its momentarily previous state, and so, back for ever.

Thus, my Magical Work is only one of the cause-effects necessarily concomitant with the cause-effects which set the ball in motion. I may therefore regard the act of striking as a cause-effect of my original Will to move the ball, though necessarily previous to its motion. But the case of magical Work is not quite analogous. For I am such that I am compelled to perform Magick in order to make my Will to prevail; so that the cause of my doing the Work is also the cause of the ball's motion, and there is no reason why one should precede the other. See Book 4, Part III, for a full discussion.

Since writing the above, I have been introduced to "Space, Time, and Gravitation", where similar arguments are adduced.]

2. I regret to find myself in disagreement with the Hon Bertrand Russell with regard to the conception of the nature of Number.

cube which measures two inches each way; while 9 is the number of squares measuring one inch each way in a square measuring three inches each way. There is a sort of reciprocal correspondence between them in this respect.

1. If I strike a billiard ball, and it moves, both my will and its motion have causes long antecedent to the act. I may consider both my Work and its reaction as twin effects of the eternal Universe. The moved arm and ball are part of a state of the Cosmos which resulted necessarily from its momentarily previous state, and so, back for ever.

Thus, my Magical Work is only one of the cause-effects necessarily concomitant with the cause-effects which set the ball in motion. I may therefore regard the act of striking as a cause-effect of my original Will to move the ball, though necessarily previous to its motion. But the case of magical Work is not quite analogous. For I am such that I am compelled to perform Magick in order to make my Will to prevail; so that the cause of my doing the Work is also the cause of the ball's motion, and there is no reason why one should precede the other. See Book 4, Part III, for a full discussion.

[Since writing the above, I have been introduced to "Space, Time, and Gravitation", where similar arguments are adduced.]

2. I regret to find myself in disagreement with the Hon Bertrand Russell with regard to the conception of the nature of Number.

packed with examples of philosophical paradox.¹

We may now return to our text, "Every number is infinite." The fact that every number is a term in a mathematical continuum is no more an adequate definition than if we were to describe a picture as "number So-and-So in the catalogue. Every number is a thing in itself, possessing an infinite number of properties peculiar to itself.

Let us consider, for a moment, the numbers 8 and 9. 8 is the number of cubes measuring one inch each way in a cube which measures two inches each way; while 9 is the number of squares measuring one inch each way in a square measuring three inches each way. There is a sort of reciprocal correspondence between them in this respect.

1. If I strike a billiard ball, and it moves, both my will and its motion have causes long antecedent to the act. I may consider both my Work and its reaction as twin effects of the eternal Universe. The moved arm and ball are part of a state of the Cosmos which resulted necessarily from its momentarily previous state, and so, back for ever.

Thus, my Magical Work is only one of the cause-effects necessarily concomitant with the cause-effects which set the ball in motion. I may therefore regard the act of striking as a cause-effect of my original Will to move the ball, though necessarily previous to its motion. But the case of magical Work is not quite analogous. For I am such that I am compelled to perform Magick in order to make my Will to prevail; so that the cause of my doing the Work is also the cause of the ball's motion, and there is no reason why one should precede the other. See Book 4, Part III, for a full discussion.

[Since writing the above, I have been introduced to "Space, Time, and Gravitation", where similar arguments are adduced.]

2. I regret to find myself in disagreement with the Hon Bertrand Russell with regard to the conception of the nature of Number.

By adding one to eight we obtain nine, so that we might define unity as that which has the property of transforming a three-dimensional expansion of two into a two-dimensional expansion of three. But if we add unity to nine, unity appears as that which has the power of transforming the two-dimensional expansion of three aforesaid into a mere oblong measuring 5 by 2. Unity thus appears as in possession of two totally different properties. Are we then to conclude that it is not the same unity? How are we to describe unity, how know it? Only by experiment can we discover the nature of its action on any given number. In certain minor respects, this action exhibits regularity. We know, for example, that it uniformly transforms an odd number into an even one, and vice versa, but that is practically the limit of what we can predict as to its action.

We can go further, and state that any number soever possesses this infinite variety of powers to transform any other number, even by the primitive process of addition. We observe also how the manipulation of any two numbers can be arranged so that the result is incommensurable with either, or even so that ideas are created of a character totally incompatible with our original conception of numbers as a

series of positive integers. We obtain unreal and irrational expressions, ideas of a wholly different order, by a very simple juxtaposition of such apparently comprehensible and commonplace entities as integers.

There is only one conclusion to be drawn from these various considerations. It is that the nature of every number is a thing peculiar to itself, a thing inscrutable and infinite, a thing inexpressible, even if we could understand it.

In other words, a number is a 'soul', in the proper sense of the term, an unique and necessary element in the totality of existence.

We may now turn to the second phrase of the text: "there is no difference". It must strike the student immediately that this is, on the face of it, a point blank contradiction of all that has been said above. What have we done but insist upon the essential difference between any two numbers, and show that even their/sequential relation is little more than arbitrary, being indeed rather a convenient way of regarding them for the purpose of coordinating them with our understanding than anything else? On a similar principle, we number public vehicles or telephones

without implication even of necessary sequence. The appellation denotes nothing beyond membership of a certain class of objects, and is indeed expressly chosen to avoid being entangled in considerations of any characteristics of the individual so designated except that cursory designation.

When it is said that there is no difference between numbers (for in this sense I think we must understand the phrase), we must examine the meaning of the word 'difference'. Difference is the denial of identity in the first place, but the word is not properly applied to discriminate between objects which have no similarity. One does not ask, "What is the difference between a yard and a minute?" in practical life. We do ask the difference between two things of the same kind. The Book of the Law is trying to emphasize the doctrine that each number is unique and absolute. Its relations with other numbers are therefore in the nature of illusion. They are the forms of presentation under which we perceive their semblances; and it is to the last degree important to realize that these semblances only indicate the nature of the realities behind them in the same way in which the degrees on a thermometric scale indicate heat. It is quite unphilosophical to say that 50°

degrees Centigrade is hotter than 40 degrees. Degrees of temperature are simply conventions invented by ourselves to describe physical states of a totally different order; and, while the heat of a body may be regarded as an inherent property of its own, our measure of that heat in no way concerns it.

We use instruments of science to inform us of the nature of the various objects which we wish to study; but our observations never reveal the thing as it is in itself. They only enable us to compare unfamiliar with familiar experiences. The use of an instrument necessarily implies the imposition of alien conventions. To take the simplest example: when we say that we see a thing, we only mean that our consciousness is modified by its existence according to a particular arrangement of lenses and other optical instruments, which exist in our eyes and not in the object perceived. So also, the fact that the sum of 2 and 1 is three, affords us but a single statement of relations symptomatic of the presentation to us of those numbers.

We have, therefore, no means soever of determining the difference between any two numbers, except in respect of a particular and very limited relation. Furthermore, in view

of the infinity of every number, it seems not unlikely that the apparent differences observed by us~~s~~ would tend to disappear with the disappearance of the arbitrary conditions which we attach to them to facilitate, as we think, our examination. We may also observe that each number, being absolute, is the centre of its universe, so that all other numbers, so far as they are related to it, are its appanages. Each number is, therefore, the totality of the universe, and there cannot be any difference between one infinite universe and another. The triangle ABC may look very different from the standpoints of A, B, and C respectively; each view is true, absolutely; yet it is the same triangle.

The above interpretation of the text is of a revolutionary character, from the point of view of science and mathematics. Investigation on the lines here laid down will lead to the solution of those grave problems which have so long baffled the greatest minds of the world, on account of the initial error of attacking them on lines which involve self-contradiction. The attempt to discover the nature of things by a study of the relations between them is precisely parallel with the ambition to obtain a finite value of π . Nobody wishes to deny the practical value of the limited

investigations which have so long preoccupied the human mind. But it is only quite recently that even the best thinkers have begun to recognize that their work was only significant within a certain order. It will soon be admitted on all hands that the study of the nature of things in themselves is a work for which the human reason is incompetent; for the nature of reason is such that it must always formulate itself in proportions which merely assert a positive or negative relation between a subject and a predicate. Men will thus be led to the development of a faculty, superior to reason, whose apprehension is independent of the hieroglyphic representations of which reason so vainly makes use. This then will be the foundation of the true spiritual science which is the proper tendency of the evolution of man. This science will clarify, without superseding, the old; but it will free men from the bondage of mind little by little, just as the old science has freed them from the bondage of matter.

This science is the proper and particular study of initiates, and its principia are formulated in the Book of the Law. This Book may therefore be regarded as initiating a complete revolution in human affairs, for it advances

1. See "Eleusis" A. Crowley Collected Works Vol.III, Epilogue.

mankind in the most radical manner. The road of attainment to self-realization is made open as never before has been done in the history of the planet.

5. Help me, o warrior lord of Thebes, in my unveiling
before the Children of men !

THE OLD COMMENT.

5. Nu, to unveil herself, needs a mortal intermediary, in the first instance.

It is to be supposed that Ankh-f-n-Khonsu, the warrior lord of Thebes, priest of Men Tu, is in some subtle manner identical with either Aiwass or the Beast.

THE NEW COMMENT.

Here Nuit appeals, simply and directly, recognizing the separate function of each Star of her Body. Though all is One, each part of that One has its own special work, each Star its particular Orbit.

In addressing me as warrior lord of Thebes, it appears as if She perceived a certain continuity or identity of myself with Ankh-f-n-khonsu, whose Stèle is the Link with Antiquity of this Revelation. See Equinox I, VII, pp. 363-400^a, for the account of this event.

The unveiling is the Proclamation of the Truth previously explained, that the Body of Nuith occupies Infinite Space, so that every Star thereof is Whole in itself, an

independent and absolute Unit. They differ as Carbon and Calcium differ, but each is a simple "immortal" Substance, or at least a Form of some simpler Substance. Each soul is thus absolute, and 'good' or 'evil' are merely terms descriptive of relations between destructible combinations. Thus Quinine is 'good' for a malarial patient, but 'evil' for the germ of the disease. Heat is 'bad' for ice-cream and 'good' for coffee. The indivisible essences of things, their 'Souls', are indifferent to all conditions soever, for none can in any way affect them.

6. Be thou Hadit, my secret centre, my heart & my tongue !

THE OLD COMMENT.

6. The recipient of this knowledge is to identify himself with Hadit, and thus fully express the thoughts of her heart in her very language.

THE NEW COMMENT.

Nuit formulates me as Hadit, especially in the three centres of consciousness of her Being. In this way, for this purpose, I became the Complement of Her.

These centres are those of Love, Life, and Language. Duality is the condition of all three. It will appear later how it is that None and Two are identical; they are distinct in our minds only because those minds are conscious, and therefore think of "Two" as their own state. But the unconscious mind thinks Nothing, and is Nothing. Yet it is the same mind.

Nuit selects three centres of Her Body to become "Two" with Hadit; for She asks me to declare Her in these three. Infinite freedom, all-embracing, for physical Love; boundless continuity for Life; and the silent rhythm of the Stars for Language. These three conceptions are Her gift to us.

heart in her very lang

THE N

mitted
entirely in
the shadow
of the sun.

Nuit formulates me
centres of consciousness
this purpose, I became

These centres are
Duality is the conditi
er how it is that None
tinct in our minds on
and therefore think of
unconscious mind think
the same mind.

Nuith selects thr
with Hadit; for She a

6. Be thou Hadit, my secret centre, my heart & my tongue !

THE OLD COMMENT.

6. The recipient of this knowledge is to identify himself with Hadit, and thus fully express the thoughts of her heart in her very language.

THE NEW COMMENT.

Nuit formulates me as Hadit, especially in the three centres of consciousness of her Being. In this way, for this purpose, I became the Complement of Her.

These centres are those of Love, Life, and Language. Duality is the condition of all three. It will appear later how it is that None and Two are identical; they are distinct in our minds only because those minds are conscious, and therefore think of "Two" as their own state. But the unconscious mind thinks Nothing, and is Nothing. Yet it is the same mind.

Nuit selects three centres of Her Body to become "Two" with Hadit; for She asks me to declare Her in these three. Infinite freedom, all-embracing, for physical Love; boundless continuity for Life; and the silent rhythm of the Stars for Language. These three conceptions are Her gift to us.

7. Behold! it is revealed by Aiwass the minister of
Hoor-paar-Kraat.

THE OLD COMMENT.

7. Aiwass - see Introduction. He is 78, Mezla, the "influence" from the Highest Crown, and the number of cards in the Tarot, Rota, the all-embracing Wheel.

Hoor-paar-Kraat -- see II, 8.

Aiwass is called the minister of Hoor-paar-Kraat, the God of Silence; for his word is the Speech of the Silence.

THE NEW COMMENT.

Aiwass is the name given by Guarda the Seer as that of the Intelligence Communicating. See note to Title.

Hoor-paar-Kraat or Harpocrates, the "Babe in the Egg of Blue", is not merely the God of Silence in a conventional sense. He represents the Higher Self, the Holy Guardian Angel. The connexion is with the symbolism of the Dwarf in Mythology. He contains everything in Himself, but is unmanifested. See II, 8.

He is the First Letter of the Alphabet, Aleph, whose number is One, and his card in the Tarot is The Fool, numbered Zero. Aleph is attributed to the "Element" (in the

old classification of things) of Air.

Now as "One" or Aleph he represents the Male Principle, the First Cause, and the free breath of life, the sound of the vowel A being made with the open throat and mouth.

As Zero he represents the female Principle, the fertile Mother. (An old name for the card is Mat, from the Italian 'Matto', fool, but earlier also from Maut, the Egyptian Vulture-Mother-Goddess.) Fertile, for the 'Egg of Blue' is the Uterus, and in the Macrocosm the Body of Nuith, and it contains the Unborn Babe, helpless yet protected and nourished against the crocodiles and tigers shown on the card, just as the womb is sealed during gestation. He sits on a lotus, the yoni, which floats on the 'Nile', the amniotic fluid.

In his absolute innocence and ignorance he is "The Fool"; he is the 'Saviour', being the Sun who shall trample on the crocodiles and tigers, and avenge his father Osiris. Thus we see him as the "Great Fool" of Celtic legend, the "Pure Fool" of Act I of Parsifal, and, generally speaking, the insane person whose words have always been taken for oracles.

But to be 'Saviour' he must be born and grow to man-

hood; thus Parsifal acquires the Sacred Lance, emblem of virility. He usually wears the 'Coat of many colours' like Joseph the 'dreamer'; so he is also now The Green Man of spring festivals. But his 'folly' is now not innocence but inspiration of wine; he drinks from the Graal, offered to him by the Priestess.

So we see him fully armed as Bacchus Diphues, male and female in one, bearing the Thrysus-rod, and a cluster of grapes or a wineskin, while a tiger leaps up by his side. This form is suggested in the Taro card, where 'The Fool' is shown with a long wand and carrying a sack; his coat is motley. Tigers and crocodiles follow him, thus linking this image with that of Harpocrates.

Almost identical symbols are those of the secret God of the Templars, the bi-sexual Baphomet, and of Zeus Arrhenothelus, equally bi-sexual, the Father-Mother of All in One Person. (He is shown in this full form in the Tarot Trump XV, "the Devil".) Now Zeus being lord of Air, we are reminded that Aleph is the letter of Air.

As Air we find the "Wandering Fool" pure wanton Breath, yet creative. Wind was supposed of old to impregnate the which therefore was chosen Vulture, to symbolize the Mother-Goddess.

He is the Wandering Knight or Prince of Fairy Tales who

(Foot note to p. 176)

1. Zeus obtained Air for his kingdom in the partition with Hades, who took Fire, and Poseidon, who took Water. Shu is the Egyptian God of the Firmament. There is a great difficulty here, etymologically. Zeus is connected with IAO, Abrasax, and the Dental Sibilant Gods of the Greater Mysteries, with the South and Hadit, Adad, Set, Saturn, Adonai, Attis, Adonis; he is even the "Jesus", slain with the Lance, whose blood is collected in a Cup. Yet he is also to be identified with the opposite party of the North and Nuit, with the "John" slain with the Sword, whose flesh is placed upon a Disk, in the Lesser Mysteries, baptizing with Water as "Jesus" with Fire, with On, Oannes, Noah, and the like.

It seems as if this great division, which has wrought such appalling havoc upon Earth, were originally no more than a distinction adopted for convenience. It is indeed the task of this Book to reduce Theology to the interplay of the Dyad Nuit and Hadit, these being themselves conceived as complementary, as Two equivalent to Naught, "divided for love's sake, for the chance of union".

(Foot note to p. 176)

1. Zeus obtained Air for his kingdom in the partition with Hades, who took Fire, and Poseidon, who took Water. Shu is the Egyptian God of the Firmament. There is a great difficulty here, etymologically. Zeus is connected with IAO, Abrasax, and the Dental Sibilant Gods of the Greater Mysteries, with the South and Hadit, Adad, Set, Saturn, Adonai, Attis, Adonis; he is even the "Jesus", slain with the Lance, whose blood is collected in a Cup. Yet he is also to be identified with the opposite party of the North and Nuit, with the "John" slain with the Sword, whose flesh is placed upon a Disk, in the Lesser Mysteries, baptizing with Water as "Jesus" with Fire, with On, Oannes, Noah, and the like.

It seems as if this great division, which has wrought such appalling havoc upon Earth, were originally no more than a distinction adopted for convenience. It is indeed the task of this Book to reduce Theology to the interplay of the Dyad Nuith and Hadith, these being themselves conceived as complementary, as Two equivalent to Naught, "divided for love's sake, for the chance of union".

marries the King's Daughter. This legend is derived from certain customs among exogamic tribes, for which see "The Golden Bough".

Thus once Europa, Semele and others claimed that Zeus - Air - had enjoyed them in the form of a beast, bird, or what not; while later Mary attributed her condition to the agency of a Spirit, - Spiritus, breath, or air - in the shape of a dove.

But the "Small Person" of Hindu mysticism, the Dwarf insane yet crafty of many legends in many lands, is also this same "Holy Ghost", or Silent Self of a man, or his Holy Guardian Angel.

He is almost the "Unconscious" of Freud, unknown, unaccountable, the silent Spirit, blowing "whither it listeth, but thou canst not tell whence it cometh or whither it goeth". It commands with absolute authority when it appears at all, despite conscious reason and judgment.

Aiwass is then, as this verse 7 states, the "minister" of this Hoor-paar-Kraat, that is of the Saviour of the World in the larger sense, and of mine own "Silent Self" in the lesser. A "minister" is one who performs a service, in this case evidently that of revealing; He was the intelli-

(1. In book 7 p. 11.)

eth". It commands with absolute power, at all, despite conscious resistance.

Aiwass is then, as this verse of the Hoor-paar-Kraat, that
World in the larger sense, and
the lesser. A "minister" is
this case evidently that of man.

(1. See back of p. 175.)

marries the King's Daughter. This legend is derived from certain customs among exogamic tribes, for which see "The Golden Bough".

Thus once Europa, Semele and others claimed that Zeus - Air - had enjoyed them in the form of a beast, bird, or what not; while later Mary attributed her condition to the agency of a Spirit, - Spiritus, breath, or air - in the shape of a dove.

But the "Small Person" of Hindu mysticism, the Dwarf insane yet crafty of many legends in many lands, is also this same "Holy Ghost", or Silent Self of a man, or his Holy Guardian Angel.

He is almost the "Unconscious" of Freud, unknown, unaccountable, the silent Spirit, blowing "whither it listeth, but thou canst not tell whence it cometh or whither it goeth". It commands with absolute authority when it appears at all, despite conscious reason and judgment.

Aiwall is then, as this verse 7 states, the "minister" of this Hoor-paar-Kraat, that is of the Saviour of the World in the larger sense, and of mine own "Silent Self" in the lesser. A "minister" is one who performs a service, in this case evidently that of revealing; He was the intelli-

(1. See book 7 p. 11.)

gible medium between the Babe God - the New Aeon about to be born - and myself. This Book of the Law is the Voice of His Mother, His Father, and Himself. But on His appearing, He assumes the active form twin to Harpocrates, that of Ra-Hor-Khuit. The Concealed Child becomes the Conquering Child, the armed Horus avenging his father Osiris. So also our own Silent Self, helpless and witless, hidden within us, will spring forth, if we have craft to loose him to the Light, spring lustily forward with his Cry of Battle, the Word of our True Wills.

This is the Task of the Adept, to have the Knowledge and Conversation of His Holy Guardian Angel, to become aware of his nature and his purpose, fulfilling them.

Why is Aiwass thus spelt, when Aiawaz is the natural transliteration of ~~ا~~يَعَزَّزَ ? Perhaps because he was not content with identifying Himself with Thelena, Agape etc. by the number 93, but wished to express His nature by six letters (Six being the number of the Sun, the God-Man, etc.) whose value in Greek should be A=1, I=10, F=6, A=1, S=200, S=200 : total 418, the number of Abrahadabra, the magical Formula of the new Aeon ! Note that I+V are the letters of the Father & the Son, also of the Virgin & the Bull (see Liber 418) protected on either side by the letter of Air, and followed by the letter of Fire twice over.

our own silent Self, helpless and witness, hidden within us, will spring forth, if we have craft to loose him to the Light, spring lustily forward with his Cry of Battle, the Word of our True Wills.

This is the Task of the Adept, to have the Knowledge and Conversation of His Holy Guardian Angel, to become aware of his nature and his purpose, fulfilling them.

Why is Aiwass thus spelt, when Aiwaz is the natural transliteration of آیوَزْ ? Perhaps because he was not content with identifying Himself with Thelma, Agape etc. by the number 93, but wished to express His nature by six letters (Six being the number of the Sun, the God-Man, etc.) whose value in Greek should be A=1, I=10, F=6, A=1, S=200, S=200 : total 418, the number of Abracadabra, the magical 'Formula of the new Aeon !' Note that I + V are the letters of the Father & the Son, also of the Virgin & the Bull (see Liber 418) protected on either side by the letter of Air, and followed by the letter of Fire twice over.

gible medium between the Babe God - the New Aeon about to be born - and myself. This Book of the Law is the Voice of His Mother, His Father, and Himself. But on His appearing, He assumes the active form twin to Harpocrates, that of Ra-Hoor-Khuit. The Concealed Child becomes the Conquering Child, the armed Horus avenging his father Osiris. So also our own Silent Self, helpless and witless, hidden within us, will spring forth, if we have craft to loose him to the Light, spring lustily forward with his Cry of Battle, the Word of our True Wills.

This is the Task of the Adept, to have the Knowledge and Conversation of His Holy Guardian Angel, to become aware of his nature and his purpose, fulfilling them.

Why is Aiwass thus spelt, when Aiwas is the natural transliteration of Αϊβας? Perhaps because he was not content with identifying Himself with Thlema, Agape etc. by the number 93, but wished to express His nature by six letters (Six being the number of the Sun the God-Man, etc.) whose value in Greek should be A=1, I=10, F=6, A=1, S=200, S=200 : total 418, the number of Abracadabra the magical Formula of the new Aeon! Note that I+V are the letters of the Father & the Son, also of the Virgin, the Bull (see Liber 418) protected on either side by the letter of Air, and followed by the letter of Fire twice over.

8. The Khabs is in the Khu, not the Khu in the Khabs.

THE OLD COMMENT.

8.1 Here begins the text.

Khabs is the secret Light or L.V.X.; the Khu is the magical entity of a man.

I find later (Sun in Virgo, An VII) that Khabs means star. In which case cf. v.3.

The doctrine here taught is that that Light is innermost, essential man. Intra (not Extra) Nobis Regnum Dei.

THE NEW COMMENT.

We are not to regard ourselves as base beings, without whose sphere is Light or "God". Our minds and bodies are veils of the Light within. The uninitiate is a "Dark Star", and the Great Work for him is to make his veils transparent by 'purifying' them. This 'purification' is really 'simplification'; it is not that the veil is dirty, but that the complexity of its folds makes it opaque. The Great Work is therefore ^{mainly} principally in the solution of complexes. Everything in itself is perfect, but when things are muddled, they become 'evil'. [This will be understood better in the Light of "The Hermit of Esopus Island", q.v.] The

re not to regard ourselves
there is Light or "God",
the Light within. The un-
treat Work for him is to
'purying' them. This 'purifi-
cation'; it is not that the
exity of its folds makes
therefore, ^{consists} principally in

8. The Khabs is in the Khu, not the Khu in the Khabs.

THE OLD COMMENT.

8. Here begins the text.

Khabs is the secret Light or L.V.X.; the Khu is the magical entity of a man.

I find later (Sun in Virgo, An VII) that Khabs means star. In which case cf. v.3.

The doctrine here taught is that that Light is innermost, essential man. Intra (not Extra) Nobis Regnum Dei.

THE NEW COMMENT.

We are not to regard ourselves as base beings, without whose sphere is Light or "God". Our minds and bodies are veils of the Light within. The uninitiate is a "Dark Star", and the Great Work for him is to make his veils transparent by 'purifying' them. This 'purification' is really 'simplification'; it is not that the veil is dirty, but that the complexity of its folds makes it opaque. The Great Work is therefore ^{essent.} principally in the solution of complexes. Everything in itself is perfect, but when things are muddled, they become 'evil'. [This will be understood better in the Light of "The Hermit of Esopus Island", q.v.] The

Doctrine is evidently of supreme importance, from its position as the first 'revelation' of Aiwass.

This 'star' or 'Inmost Light' is the original, individual, eternal essence. The Khu is the magical garment which it weaves for itself, a 'form' for its Being Beyond Form, by use of which it can gain experience through self-consciousness, as explained in the note to verses 2 and 3. This Khu is the first veil, far subtler than mind or body, and truer; for its symbolic shape depends on the nature of its Star.

Why are we told that the Khabs is in the Khu, not the Khu in the Khabs? Did we then suppose the converse? I think that we are warned against the idea of a Pleroma, a flame of which we are Sparks, and to which we return when we 'attain'. That would indeed be to make the whole curse of separate existence ridiculous, a senseless and inexcusable folly. It would throw us back on the dilemma of Manichaeism. The idea of incarnations "perfecting" a thing originally perfect by definition is imbecile. The only sane solution is as given previously, to suppose that the Perfect enjoys experience of (apparent) Imperfection. (There are deeper resolutions of this problem appropriate to the highest grades of initiation; but the above should suffice the average intelligence.)

Actual in class 31.

"we are told to worship the Khabs (the horses of Hedit) and behold my
(Hedit's) light shed over you, because Khabs is the continual light of light, and
so this perform an act similar to that which made the creation
from mud and water, continuing the darkness to the days of understanding
manifest, rather than not."

Acknowledgment 31.

"we are told to worship the Khabs (the horses of Hedit) and behold my
(Night's) light shed over you, because Khabs is the continual light, and
it thus performs an act similar to that which made the creation
manifest, rather than not."

manifest', rather than 'NOC'.
.as well as 'golden leaves', until this was no
longer, resulting in 'golden board' in 'moss' right

... ait jumay Isolym odd et mi eit , m'pane laimeda , Lai
, n'ot b'oyek p'iel eti yok 'mo?' a , Meil'i tel e'oyek et
ol'ba-21ea agurad conch'ake n'ig n'oi h'ol'g' le eau yd
n'it el'it . L'ba a e'oyek et e'om eti na h'ol'g' le , e'oyek
p'urit b'oi , yb'oi to h'ol'g' n'oi h'ol'g' na , q'iv j'ol'g' eti et
, z'at'a eti le e'oyek b'oi no sh'og'et e'oyek et'ol'g' eti yok
et'ol'g' b'oi , uru b'oi eti eti et'ol'g' eti d'ant b'oi eti eti eti

Actual in dirn 31.

"we are told to worship the Khabs (the house of Hedit) and behold my (Hedit's) light shed over you, because Khabs is the central point of light, and so this perform an act similar to that which made the creation - also as I said, ~~so that~~ ~~so that~~ to ~~the~~ have an individual manifest, rather than not."

2. Worship then the Khabs, and behold my light shed over you!

THE OLD COMMENT.

2. That Khabs is declared to be the light of Nu. It being worshipped in the centre, the light also fills the circumference, so that all is light.

THE NEW COMMENT.

We are to pay attention to this Immortal Light; then comes the answering Light of Infinite Space. Note that the Light of Space is what men call Darkness; its nature is utterly incomprehensible to our uninitiated minds. It is the 'veils' mentioned previously in this comment that obstruct the relation between Nuit and Hadit.

We are not to worship the Khu, to fall in love with our Magical Image. To do this - we have all done it - is to forget our Truth. If we adore Form, it becomes opaque to Being, and may soon prove false to itself. The Khu, ^{in each of us} includes the Cosmos as he knows it. To me, even another Khabs is only part of my Khu. Our own Khabs is our one sole Truth.

10. Let my servants be few and secret; they shall rule the many and the known.

THE OLD COMMENT.

10. This is the rule of Thelema, that its adepts shall be invisible rulers. This, it may be remarked, has always been the case.

THE NEW COMMENT.

The nature of magical power is quite incomprehensible to the vulgar. The prophet Ezekiel besieging a tile in order to destroy Jerusalem, and the adventures of Hosea with Gomer, seem as absurd to the 'practical' man as do the researches of any other scientific man until the Sunday Newspapers have furnished him with a plausible explanation which explains nothing. (Book 4, Part III, must be read in this connexion.)

"My servants"; not those of the Lord of the Aeon. "The Law is for all"; there can be ^{no} secrecy about that. The verse refers to specially chosen 'servants'; perhaps those who, worshipping the Khabs, have beheld Her light shed over them. Such persons indeed consummate the marriage of Nuit and Hadit in themselves; in that case they are aware of

certain Ways to Power.

There is also a mystical sense in this verse. We are to organize our minds thoroughly, appointing few and secret chiefs, serving Nuit, to discipline the varied departments of the conscious thought.

11. These are fools that men adore; both their Gods & their men are fools.

THE OLD COMMENT.

11. "The many and the known" both among Gods and men, are revered; this is folly.

THE NEW COMMENT.

It is a fact of meditation that everything which becomes manifest is instantly recognized as unreal. All perfect unveiling solves, wholly or in part, the equation "Something equals $\frac{0}{0}$ ".
(See Comment on line 28.) Adeptship is little more than ability to perceive this $\frac{0}{0}$ phase of "Something" in respect of larger and larger "Somethings".

A verse with so sacred a number as 11 is likely to mean very deep things. Probably much concerning the function of The Fool is concealed in it.

It has been shewn in a previous note that the principal Gods, and men, that men have adored, are in one way or another represented in the Tarot card "The Fool". The statement in the text is, superficially, either a platitude or a petulance; neither sounds like the tone of Nuit. A third alternative? Can we have "phrased" it carelessly, or punctuated it incorrectly?

THE OLD COMMENT.

11. "The many and the known" both among Gods and are revered; this is folly.

THE NEW COMMENT.

It is a fact of meditation that everything which comes manifest is instantly recognized as unreal. perfect unveiling solves, wholly or in part, the equation "Something equals $\frac{0}{0}$ ".^(See comment on verse 28.) Adeptship is little more than the ability to perceive this $\frac{0}{0}$ phase of "Something" in reality, in the larger and larger "Somethings".

A verse with so sacred a number as 11 is likely to mean very deep things. Probably much concerning the condition of The Fool is concealed in it.

It has been shewn in a previous note that the Gods, and men, that men have adored, are in one way represented in the Tarot card "The Fool". The ^{in the text} is, superficially, either a platitude or a petulant remark sounds like the tone of Nuit. A third alternative is that we have "phrased" it carelessly, or punctuated it.

11. These are fools that men adore; both their Gods & their men are fools.

THE OLD COMMENT.

11. "The many and the known" both among Gods and men, are revered; this is folly.

THE NEW COMMENT.

It is a fact of meditation that everything which becomes manifest is instantly recognized as unreal. All perfect unveiling solves, wholly or in part, the equation "Something equals $\frac{0}{0}$ ".^(See comment on line 28) Adeptship is little more than ability to perceive this $\frac{0}{0}$ phase of "Something" in respect of larger and larger "Somethings".

A verse with so sacred a number as 11 is likely to mean very deep things. Probably much concerning the function of The Fool is concealed in it.

It has been shewn in a previous note that the principal Gods, and men, that men have adored, are in one way or another represented in the Tarot card "The Fool". The statement in the text is, superficially, either a platitude or a petulance; neither sounds like the tone of Muit. A third alternative? Can we have "phrased" it carelessly, or punctuated it incorrect-

ly? Or is there a Qabalistic puzzle or a mystic submeaning concealed? The subject changes instantly, as it seems. I prefer to suggest that these "fools" are "Silent selves", impotent babes unborn; then verse 12 continues "Come forth!", that is, bring your Holy Guardian Angel from the womb of your subconsciousness. Then, "take your fill of love"; that is, do your True Will, whose mode of fulfilment is love, as explained later in this chapter.

12. Come forth, o children, under the stars, & take your fill of love!

THE OLD COMMENT.

12. The Key of the worship of Nu. The uniting of consciousness with infinite space by the exercise of love, pastoral or pagan love. But vide infra.

THE NEW COMMENT.

The whole doctrine of 'love' is discussed in the Book Aleph (Wisdom or Folly) and should be studied therein. But note further how this Verse agrees with the comment above, how every Star is to come forth from its veils, that it may revel with the whole World of Stars. This is again also a call to unite, or 'love', thus formulating the Equation $1 + (-1) = 0$, which is the general magical formula in our Cosmos.

"Come forth" - from what are you hiding? "under the stars", that is, openly. Also, let love be 'under' or 'unto' the Body of Nuith. But above all, be open! What is this shame? Is Love hideous, that men should cover him with lies? Is Love so sacred that others must not intrude? Nay, 'under the stars', at night, what eye but theirs may see? Or, if one see, should not your worship wake the cloisters

1. The Hon. Bertrand Russell might prefer to write this: $+1 + (-1) = 0$. For initiates of the IX° of O.T.O. it could be expressed: $\Phi + K - T = 0$, where $\Phi - K = 0$, and Φ and K are both positive integers.

how every Star is to come forth from its veils, that it revel with the whole World of Stars. This is again also call to unite, or 'love', thus formulating the Equation $i + (-1) = 0$,¹ which is the general magical formula in our COSMOS.

"Come forth" - from what are you hiding? "under the stars", that is, openly. Also, let love be 'under' or 'to' the Body of Nuith. But above all, be open! What is shame? Is Love hideous, that men should cover him with lies? Is Love so sacred that others must not intrude? Is 'under the stars', at night, what eye but theirs may see? Or, if one see, should not your worship wake the cloiste

1. The Hon. Bertrand Russell might prefer to write this: $+1 + (-1) = 0$. For Initiates of the IX^o of O.T.O. it could be expressed: $\Phi + K = T = 0$, where $\Phi - K = 0$, and Φ and K are both positive integers.

12. Come forth, o children, under the stars, & take your fill of love!

THE OLD COMMENT.

12. The Key of the worship of Nu. The uniting of consciousness with infinite space by the exercise of love, pastoral or pagan love. But vide infra.

THE NEW COMMENT.

The whole doctrine of 'love' is discussed in the Book Aleph (Wisdom or Folly) and should be studied therein. But note further how this Verse agrees with the comment above, how every Star is to come forth from its veils, that it may revel with the whole World of Stars. This is again also a call to unite, or 'love', thus formulating the Equation $1 + (-1) = 0$, which is the general magical formula in our Cosmos.

"Come forth" - from what are you hiding? "under the stars", that is, openly. Also, let love be 'under' or 'unto' the Body of Nuith. But above all, be open! What is this shame? Is Love hideous, that men should cover him with lies? Is Love so sacred that others must not intrude? Nay, 'under the stars', at night, what eye but theirs may see? Or, if one see, should not your worship wake the cloisters

1. The Hon. Bertrand Russell might prefer to make this: $+1 + (-1) = 0$. For initiates of the IX^o of O.T.O. it could be expressed: $\Phi + K - T = 0$, where $\Phi - K = 0$, and Φ and K are both positive integers.

of his soul to echo sanctity for that so lovely a deed and
gracious you have done?

high book a yiewed on Earth for yliesspe aces of lues aid to
Iealud aynd my emolowig

(Foot note to p. 186)

1. Perhaps I should have defined the word "Space". The task is far from easy. Space (including Time) is one of the conditions necessary to the illusion of duality. But when Nuith says "I am Infinite Space and the Infinite Stars thereof" (verse 22) there must be some other meaning. May I define it as "the totality of the possibilities of giving Form to Being", and thus equivalent to "Matter", which manifests "Motion"? This at least suits the verse under present discussion; for the Feminine Idea is to take delight in enabling the Masculine Idea to express itself by its means. There should be no difficulty for the student of modern mathematical philosophy in conceiving Matter and Space as identical. He may find it less easy to assent to a personification capable of speech. But I shall not resent the interpretation of Her speech as being the rhetorical device of AIWAZ. Devotion to Her, Knowledge of Her, Union with Her, may perfectly well be understood as the process of extending the human consciousness to apprehend the supra-rational idea thus represented. It was obviously necessary, from a practical point of view, to phrase this Book in terms of common parlance, concealing the more recondite Arcana in the numerical and literal cipher. When, then, I say "Space is omnipresent", it is almost the equivalent of "Anything is always liable to happen".

13. I am above you and in you. My ecstasy is in yours.
My joy is to see your joy.

THE OLD COMMENT.

13. This doctrine implies some mystic bond which I imagine is only to be understood by experience; this human ecstasy and that divine ecstasy interact. A similar doctrine is found in the Bhagavad Gita.

THE NEW COMMENT.

Note that Space is omnipresent.¹ The cause of 'sorrow' is the 'imaginary' solutions of continuity in this substance. Ecstasy is produced by the resolution of these illusions. Observe well that to beings in a state of strain or sorrow the "Great Work" is bound to appear in the guise of a relief or joy. But this is not to assert Samadhi, that unity with the universe which brings relief and joy by "love", as an "absolute good". It is only good relatively to our present condition as beings divided by Illusion from Nuit. When one returns to the 'simple' state, one soon begins to think out a new route through the Universe and devise new combinations in the Great Game called Seeing Life.

In Nature few elements are lone wolves. Most of them

1. See back of p. 175 (a)

Muit. When one returns begins to think out a new devise new combinations in

In Nature few elemen

(1. See back of p. 185-1a.)

12. I am above you and in you. My ecstasy is in yours.

My joy is to see your joy.

THE OLD COMMENT.

13. This doctrine implies some mystic bond which I imagine is only to be understood by experience; this human ecstasy and that divine ecstasy interact. A similar doctrine is found in the Bhagavad Gita.

THE NEW COMMENT.

Note that Space is omnipresent. The cause of 'sorrow' is the 'imaginary' solutions of continuity in this substance. Ecstasy is produced by the resolution of these illusions. Observe well that to beings in a state of strain or sorrow the "Great Work" is bound to appear in the guise of a relief or joy. But this is not to assert Samadhi, that unity with the universe which brings relief and joy by "love", as an "absolute good". It is only good relatively to our present condition as beings divided by Illusion from Nuit. When one returns to the 'simple' state, one soon begins to think out a new route through the Universe and devise new combinations in the Great Game called Seeing Life.

In Nature few elements are lone wolves. Most of them

1. See back of p. 185 (a)

12. I am above you and in you. My ecstasy is in yours.

My joy is to see your joy.

THE OLD COMMENT.

13. This doctrine implies some mystic bond which I imagine is only to be understood by experience; this human ecstasy and that divine ecstasy interact. A similar doctrine is found in the Bhagavad Gita.

THE NEW COMMENT.

Note that Space is omnipresent. The cause of 'sorrow' is the 'imaginary' solutions of continuity in this substance. Ecstasy is produced by the resolution of these illusions. Observe well that to beings in a state of strain or sorrow the "Great Work" is bound to appear in the guise of a relief or joy. But this is not to assert Samadhi, that unity with the universe which brings relief and joy by "love", as an "absolute good". It is only good relatively to our present condition as beings divided by Illusion from Nuit. When one returns to the 'simple' state, one soon begins to think out a new route through the Universe and devise new combinations in the Great Game called Seeing Life.

In Nature few elements are lone wolves. Most of them

1. See back of p. 185 (a)

the possible forms of expression. We can hardly even imagine ourselves as living on another planet, or in the Sun; much less as apprehending the Universe by means of a totally different set of senses. Yet most of us who are not mere placental amniots possess an instinct which persistently regrets our incapacities. It is bad enough to be dependent on scientific instruments for our knowledge of all but the grossest of the wonders and splendours of the Universe; but worse that we are aware of an infinite variety of orders of phenomena, such as electricity, magnetism, chemical action, and a host of others, which we can explore only by indirect means, interpret only by obviously inadequate symbols, and understand only in terms of arbitrary relations with our animal sense-perceptions. We know theoretically that every object must react to every other object; and it is evident that each type of reaction may be as overwhelmingly interesting as those which happen to affect us. What unimaginable rapture to be able to observe magnetic fields or molecular movements as directly as we do the Ocean and the Ant-heap! It is the task of the Initiate to adapt himself to the Totality of Existence, and to develop in himself the means of apprehending it wholly and fully.

the possible forms of expression. We can hardly even imagine ourselves as living on another planet, or in the Sun; much less as apprehending the Universe by means of a totally different set of senses. Yet most of us who are not mere placental amniots possess an instinct which persistently regrets our incapacities. It is bad enough to be dependent on scientific instruments for our knowledge of all but the grossest of the wonders and splendours of the Universe; but worse that we are aware of an infinite variety of orders of phenomena, such as electricity, magnetism, chemical action, and a host of others, which we can explore only by indirect means, interpret only by obviously inadequate symbols, and understand only in terms of arbitrary relations with our animal sense-perceptions. We know theoretically that every object must react to every other object; and it is evident that each type of reaction may be as overwhelmingly interesting as those which happen to affect us. What unimaginable rapture to be able to observe magnetic fields or molecular movements as directly as we do the Ocean and the Ant-heap! It is the task of the Initiate to adapt himself to the Totality of Existence, and to develop in himself the means of apprehending it wholly and fully.

strada te omdra a si spint cu suu' lori strindu' ocazii
cunoscute cu romanele de la "ZEPPELIN" care vorbeau cu
multe intelectuale romane si care au spus ca "ZEPPELIN" a
potrivit cu totul romanei. Cu totul romanei care vorbeau cu
multe intelectuale romane si care au spus ca "ZEPPELIN"
potrivit cu totul romanei.

are being thrown in and out of combination constantly; on suns this occurs with lordly vehemence.

Note that Nuith, although She is Infinite Space, speaks as an individual might do, often enough. This is not that She is 'talking down to our level'; it is a fact. In the Cosmos almost any aggregation can think and act as an Ego. For instance, the cells of our bodies are each units, diverse in composition and character, living each a life of its own. Yet we think and act for them, and say "I". The stars are the cells of Her Body. Each one of us is such a cell; not less itself but more because of its secret function in Her.

It should be evident that Nuith obtains the satisfaction of Her Nature when the parts of Her Body fulfil their own Nature. The sacrament of love is not only so from the point of view of the celebrants, but from that of the divinity invoked.

It is said that for every step one takes towards one's Holy Guardian Angel, He takes two towards his client.

What do I mean by "beings divided by Illusion from Nuith", in the first paragraph? This, that we are limited mentally, that we realize only an infinitesimal fraction of
(Cont'd on back p.186)

14. Above, the gilded azure is
The naked splendour of Nuit.
She bends in ecstasy to kiss
The secret ardours of Hadit.
The winged globe, the starry blue,
Aye mine, O Ankh-f-na-khonsu!

14. This verse is a direct translation of the first section of the St616. It conceals a certain secret ritual of the highest rank, connected with the two previous verses.

THE NEW COMMENT.
This is a poetic description of the symbolism of the St616. It is suitable for such minds as approach Truth in this manner rather than by way of Science or Philosophy.

It contains a Formula of Magick Art, connected with the St616. Also, less ineffably, it boasts the consummation of the marriage of Hadit and Nuit in the priest. That is, he has freed Hadit, in the core of his Star, from the illusion-veils of the Khu, so that the two Infinites become one, and none; and create, in the manner shortly to be described, a new Finite.

PRINTED IN U.S.A.
This Finite will evidently be an expression of the

this manner ra
It contai
the Stèle. Al
of the marriag
he has freed H
lusion-veils o
one, and none;
scribed, a new

This Fini

omitted in
first version.

14. Above, the gemmed azure is
The naked splendour of Nuit.
She bends in ecstasy to kiss
The secret ardours of Hadit.
The winged globe, the starry blue,
Are mine! O Ankh-f-na-khonsu!

14. This verse is a direct translation of the first section of the stèle. It conceals a certain secret ritual of the highest rank, connected with the two previous verses.

THE NEW COMMENT.

This is a poetic description of the symbolism of the Stèle. It is suitable for such minds as approach Truth in this manner rather than by way of Science or Philosophy.

It contains a Formula of Magick Art, connected with the Stèle. Also, less ineffably, it boasts the consummation of the marriage of Hadit and Nuit in the priest. That is, he has freed Hadit, in the core of his Star, from the illusion-veils of the Khu, so that the two Infinites become one, and none; and create, in the manner shortly to be described, a new Finite.

This Finite will evidently be an expression of the

(Contd from p. 188)

particular mood of its Father and Mother at the moment of its conception. Obviously, this "Child" cannot add to the Universe; it is therefore inevitably twin (Horus and Harpocrates, Osiris and Typhon, Jesus and Barabbas) in Nature, formed of equal and opposite elements. When the Operation is mystical in character, the "Child" does not appear at all in this manifested form as Two, but as Naught. In the consciousness of the Adept, this is called Samadhi. He has united himself with, and lost himself in, Nuit. When the "Child" appears as Two, it is Magick, as the other is Mysticism. This is the essential difference between these Arts.

501
(V.15)

The definition of "infinite space" offered in the Comment on verse 13 is useful here. My Work is in great part to insist upon the infinite possibilities of human development. Man has too slavishly acquiesced in his limitations. Science itself has shewn itself almost as intolerant as Religion toward certain lines of research. Indeed, every element of society has added its energy to the opposition which bars each pioneer with undiscriminating stupidity. Darwin, Pasteur, Lister and Jenner met with the same ferocious cowardice as Shelly and Luther; they were assailed on every ground from Religion and Morality upwards; every falsehood that malice could invent was circulated about them. In short, they were treated then as I am being treated now; and I am resolute to prosecute my Work now as they were resolute then.

13. Now we shall know that the chosen priest & apostle of infinite space is the prince-priest, the Beast; and in his woman called the Scarlet Woman is all power given. They shall gather my children into their fold; they shall bring the glory of the stars into the hearts of men.

THE OLD COMMENT.

15. The authority of the Beast rests upon this verse; but it is to be taken in conjunction with certain later verses which I shall leave to the research of students to interpret. I am inclined, however, to believe that "the Beast" and "the Scarlet Woman" do not denote persons, but are titles of office, that of Hierophant and High Priestess (♀ and ♂), else it would be difficult to understand the next verse.

THE NEW COMMENT.

Book of p. 188
That which is beneath is like that which is above. The Beast and the Scarlet Woman are avatars of Tao and Teh, Shiva and Sakti. This Law is then an exact image of the Great Law of the Cosmos; this is an assurance of its Perfection.

It is necessary to say here that The Beast appears to be a definite individual; to wit, the man Aleister Crowley.

infinite space is the prince-
his woman called the Scarlet
They shall gather my children
shall bring the glory of the
man.

THE OLD COMMENT.

15. The authority of the Beast res-
it is to be taken in conjunction wi-
which I shall leave to the research
pret. I am inclined, however, to b-
and "the Scarlet Woman" do not deno-
ties of office, that of Hierophant
(1), else it would be difficult to

THE NEW COMMENT.

Back of p. 188

That which is beneath is like
Beast and the Scarlet Woman are ava-
va and Sakti. This Law is then an
Law of the Cosmos; this is an assur-

infinite space is the prince-
his woman called the Scarlet
They shall gather my children
shall bring the glory of the
mon.

THE OLD COMMENT.

*omitted in
the shorter
version*

15. The authority of the Beast re-
it is to be taken in conjunction wi-
which I shall leave to the research
pret. I am inclined, however, to b-
and "the Scarlet Woman" do not deno-
ties of office, that of Hierophant
1), else it would be difficult to

THE NEW COMMENT.

Back of p. 188

That which is beneath is like
Beast and the Scarlet Woman are ava-
va and Sakti. This Law is then an
Law of the Cosmos; this is an assur-

15. Now ye shall know that the chosen priest & apostle of infinite space is the prince-priest, the Beast; and in his woman called the Scarlet Woman is all power given. They shall gather my children into their fold; they shall bring the glory of the stars into the hearts of men.

THE OLD COMMENT.

15. The authority of the Beast rests upon this verse; but it is to be taken in conjunction with certain later verses which I shall leave to the research of students to interpret. I am inclined, however, to believe that "the Beast" and "the Scarlet Woman" do not denote persons, but are titles of office, that of Hierophant and High Priestess () and (), else it would be difficult to understand the next verse.

THE NEW COMMENT.

Book of p. 188 → That which is beneath is like that which is above. The Beast and the Scarlet Woman are avatars of Tao and Teh, Shiva and Sakti. This Law is then an exact image of the Great Law of the Cosmos; this is an assurance of its Perfection.

It is necessary to say here that The Beast appears to be a definite individual; to wit, the man Aleister Crowley.

But the Scarlet Woman is an officer replaceable as need arises. Thus to this present date of writing, Anno XVI, Sun in Sagittarius, there have been several holders of the title.

1. Rose Edith Crowley née Kelly, my wife. Put me in touch with Aiwaz; see Equinox I, 7. "The Temple of Solomon the King." Failed as elsewhere is on record.

2. A doubtful case. Mary d'Este Sturges née Dempsey. Put me in touch with Abuldiz; hence helped with Book 4. Failed from personal jealousies.

3. Jeanne Robert Foster née Olivier. Bore the 'child' to whom this Book refers later. Failed from respectability.

4. Roddie Minor. Brought me in touch with Amalantrah. Failed from indifference to the Work.

5. A doubtful case, Marie Röhling née Lavroff. Helped to inspire Liber CXI. Failed from indecision.

6. A doubtful case, Bertha Almira Prykryl née Bruce. Delayed assumption of duties, hence made way for No. 7.

7. Léa Hirsig. Assisted me in actual initiation; still at my side, An XVII, Sun in Sagittarius; (P.S. An xix Sol. Cris.)

'Prince-priest' is an unusual word, and not in tone with other references to me. I suspect therefore a secret ci-

pher of some sort. For one thing, it is an anagram of PRINCEPS ITER, not bad for Alastor the Wanderer, or PRINCEPS ERIT, he shall be the chief (see verse 23). But such Qabalah is hardly to be considered serious. The recurrence of the letters PRI is however curious and may be significant. The combination PR in most Aryan languages gives the idea of "Before". P and R are the letters of Mars and Sol respectively. Now Mars is referred to the number 5, and Sol to the number 6; both to the idea "Force and Fire", though in different ways. Now "Force and Fire" is the attribute of Ra-Hoor-Khuit, Lord of the Aeon; and 5 and 6 are mystically mated to represent the Accomplishment of the Great Work in Abrahadabra, the Word of the Aeon. (See, for this Word, infra, Qabalistic Appendix.) The termination ST is the Coronal combination XXI which we shall notice often enough later on.

The Beast, besides 666 correspondences, is by English sound, the Magus (Beth, Mercury, etc.) of this ST. S has in the Tarot the card numbered XX, which represents the Stèle of Revealing, and is called The Judgment; i.e. the ending of an Aeon. T has the card numbered XI and is called Strength. It is the card of Leo, and represents Babalon

and the Beast conjoined.

"Their fold"; not only a sheepfold, but as it were
written "their embrace".

16. For he is ever a sun, and she is a moon. But to him
is the winged secret flame, and to her the stooping
starlight.

THE OLD COMMENT.

16. In II, 16, we find that HAD is to be taken as 11 (see
 II, 16, comment). Then Hadit = 421, Nuit = 466.

$$421 - 3 \text{ (the moon)} = 418$$

$$466 + 200 \text{ (the sun)} = 666$$

These are the two great numbers of the Qabalistic system
 that enabled me to interpret the signs leading to this
 revelation.

The winged secret flame is Hadit; the stooping star-
 light is Nuit; these are their true natures, and their
 functions in the supreme ritual referred to above.

THE NEW COMMENT.

The sun and moon, in their occult sense, are ^{secondary} represent-
 atives of this original duality which is a phase of the
 Qabalistic Zero. Other correspondences are Yang and Yin,
 Kod and He, etc. But most such dualities have been conceiv-
 ed in very gross and unphilosophical forms. Of course, it
 is impossible to grasp this subject properly by reason; on-

ly the Understanding developed by meditation and spiritual experience availa. Initiation is pantomorphously progressive.

Note that the Secret-Divine letter SHT which is the Key of this book is by shape the Sun united with the Moon C=Sh O=T O = SHT.

A.C. vs. Mhd 13 May 23

It is a complex form work.

It is on the 202.11 Hg-Ped

hi = 3 + 372 = 375 = 66 = XI = O Thru; 2372 = 318 = Hairs

she = a moon + 8.61777 She-line

C = shape of S = O = shape of 2372 = O

Nth 60 60.12 Sun-letter = 31 = 209 = $\frac{418}{2}$

3 60703 = 309 = 372 = 3 hours of O

3 Hairs = 318 = 972 = 8 hours of O

It is 609 Hairs = 849 = 849 = 8 hours of Tiphareth

318 + 309 = 609 = O + O = 8 hours of Tiphareth = 849 = 849 = 849

ly the Understanding developed by meditation and spiritual experience avails. Initiation is pantomorphously progressive.

Note that the Secret-Divine letter $S\bar{h}T$ which is the Key of this book is by shape the Sun united with the Moon $C = Sh$ $\odot : T$ $\odot\odot = Sh\bar{T}$.

A. 2. v. 13 May 23.

I. 16. a complex from book.

He is on the sun. 13 He-Book

He = $\odot = 3\bar{T}L$ But also He = 666 = $\lambda\lambda = O$ Thoth; $23\bar{T}L = 318 = 'H\lambda\lambda\lambda$

She = a moon. 13. $C\bar{E}\lambda\lambda\lambda$ Sh-line

C = shape of $S = \odot$ = shape of $\odot = 1\bar{L} = \odot$

Now $60\odot 10\bar{L}$ Secret-letter = $31 = 209 = \frac{418}{2}$

$3. C\bar{E}\lambda\lambda\lambda = 309 = 3\bar{T}L = 5$ hours of \odot

$3\lambda\lambda\lambda = 318 = 23\bar{T}L = 02$ hours of \odot

in book 'H\lambda\lambda\lambda = 849 = 24\pi\lambda\lambda = 24 letters of Thoth = 24

$318 + 309 = 607 = \odot + \odot =$ and the secret letter = 10 $\odot\lambda\lambda\lambda = 618$ by $\lambda\lambda\lambda$.

ly the Understanding developed by meditation and spiritual experience avails. Initiation is pantomorphously progressive.

Note that the Secret-Divine letter $S\ddot{h}T$ which is the Key of this book is by shape the Sun united with the Moon $C = Sh$ $\odot : T$ $\odot\odot = ShT$.

A.C. to Mudd 13 May 23

I. 16 a complex from book.

he is sun the sun. 13 He-Book

he = $\mathfrak{z} = 3\ddot{h}T$ But also he = 666 = $\mathfrak{z} = \odot$ $\ddot{h} = \mathfrak{z}$; $\mathfrak{z} = 3\ddot{h} = 318 = 'H\ddot{a}os$

she = a moon, i.e. C $\ddot{a}z\mathfrak{y}\mathfrak{z}$ Sh-line

C = shape of $\mathfrak{z} = \odot$ = shape of $\odot = \mathfrak{z}$ $\ddot{h} = \mathfrak{z}$

Now to co Re Secret letter = $31 = 209 = \frac{418}{2}$

$\mathfrak{z} C\mathfrak{a}z\mathfrak{y}\mathfrak{z} = 309 = 3\ddot{h}T = 3$ hours of \odot

$\mathfrak{z} H\ddot{a}os = 318 = 3\ddot{h}T = \mathfrak{z}$, hours of \odot

in book 'H\ddot{a}os = 849 = w $\mathfrak{y}\mathfrak{z}$ = w letter of Triphesis

$318 + 301 = 609 = \odot + \mathfrak{z} =$ out the next letter = to $\mathfrak{y}\mathfrak{z}$ $\mathfrak{y}\mathfrak{z}$ = 618 Key

242.

ly the Understanding developed by meditation and spiritual experience avails. Initiation is pantomorphously progressive.

Note that the Secret-Divine letter $S\bar{A}T$ which is the Key of this book is by shape the Sun united with the Moon $C = Sh$ $\odot : T$ $\odot\odot = Sh\bar{T}$.

A. L. 13 Mhd 13 May 23

I. 16 a complex from book

he is in the sun 13 He-Book

he = $\frac{1}{3} = 3\bar{T}L$ But also he = 666 = $\lambda_1 = 0$ Thales; $\vartheta_3, \vartheta_2 = 318 = "H\lambda\mu\sigma$

she = a moon 14. C $\lambda\gamma\gamma$ she-line

C = shape $\frac{1}{3} = \odot$ = shape of $\frac{\odot}{\odot} = \bar{L} = \odot$

North \odot \odot \odot 12. Secret letter = $31 = 209 = \frac{418}{2}$

$\frac{1}{3} C\lambda\gamma\gamma\vartheta_3 = 309 = 3\bar{T}L = 5$ hours of \odot

$\frac{1}{3} \lambda\mu\sigma = 318 = 3\bar{T}L = \odot$ hours of \odot

in 4072 "H\lambda\mu\sigma = 849 = \omega\mu\gamma\mu\mu = w letter of τ -further τ

$318 + 309 = 609 = \odot + \odot = \omega$ the next letter = τ $\omega\mu\gamma\mu\mu = 619$ Key $\omega\mu\gamma\mu\mu$

ly the Understanding developed by meditation and spiritual experience avails. Initiation is pantomorphously progressive.

Note that the Secret-Divine letter SAT which is the Key of this book is by shape the Sun united with the Moon C = Sh O : T \bigcirc = ShT.

A.C. v. Hodd 13 May 23

I is a complex sun letter.

It is the sun. 11 He-Lion

He = 3 + 3 + 2 But also He = 666 = XI = O Thoth; 23 + 2 = 318 = 'H'ios

She = a moon, v. g. Cadyry she-lune

C = shape 3 + 3 + 2 = shape of O + Th = O

He to O Re Secret Letter = 31 + 209 = $\frac{418}{2}$

3 Cadyry = 309 = 3 + 2 + 5 house of O

3 'H'ios = 318 = 9 + 2 = 11, house of O

in total 'H'ios = 849 = 49 + 8 = 11 letter of Triphassa

318 + 301 = 609 = O + O = od Re next letter = 10 03rd = 619 by 242.

ly the Understanding developed by meditation and spiritual experience avails. Initiation is pantomorphously progressive.

Note that the Secret-Divine letter $S\bar{A}T$ which is the Key of this book is by shape the Sun united with the Moon $C = Sh$ $\odot : T$ $\odot\odot = ShT$.

A. L. v. 13 May 23.

I. 16 a complex from book.

$h\bar{e}$ is in the sun. i.e. $H\bar{e}$ - $h\bar{e}07$

$h\bar{e} = 3 = 3\bar{t}2$ But also $h\bar{e} = 666 = \lambda_1 = 0$ Thoth; $3\bar{t}2 = 318 = H\bar{a}i\bar{o}s$

ShT is a moon i.e. $C\bar{t}3\bar{t}2$ ShT -line

C = shape of $S = \odot \rightarrow$ shape of $\odot : T = \odot$

Now $\odot : T$, Sun-letter = $31 : 209 : \frac{418}{2}$

$3. C\bar{t}3\bar{t}2 = 309 = 3\bar{t}2 : 5$ hours of \odot

$\frac{1}{2} H\bar{a}i\bar{o}s = 318 = 3\bar{t}2 : 0$, hours of \odot

in book $H\bar{a}i\bar{o}s = 849 = \omega\mu\gamma\delta$ or letters of Tiphered?

$318 + 309 = 609 = \odot + \odot = \odot$ The next letter is to expand = 619 by 242.

ly the Understanding developed by meditation and spiritual experience avails. Initiation is pantomorphously progressive.

Note that the Secret-Divine letter SAT which is the Key of this book is by shape the Sun united with the Moon C-Sh O-T O = ShT.

A.L. 13. 13 Aug 23

I st a complex form too.

It is now the 102. 13 Aug 23

he = 3 = 372 But also he = 66 = xi = 0 then 2372 = 318 = 'Haus'

she = a moon = 679 = 371-line

C = shape 0. 3 = O = shape of 03 12 = O

NSH = 0 0 12. Sun = letter = 31 + 2 + 9 = $\frac{418}{2}$

3 12 9 = 309 = 372 = 3 house of 3

3 Haus = 318 = 372 = 03 house of 0

in 102 'Haus' = 848 = 249 = in letter of Tripli-ast

318 + 361 = 609 = 0 + 9 = 08 the next letter = 10 0302 = 619 123
372

17. But ye are not so chosen.

THE OLD COMMENT.

17. "Ye" refers to the other worshippers of Nuit, who must seek out their own election.

THE NEW COMMENT.

That is, there is a special incarnation of Nuit and Hadit for the Beast and the Scarlet Woman, as opposed to the general truth that every man and woman are images of these ineffable Beings.

Note that a woman, having no soul of her own, can be used always as a 'Form' for any Being. This explains why Nuit can incarnate at will in successive women, careless of the physical limits of life.

I feel a certain necessity to explain that an 'avatar' implies rather a release from the limits of personality than anything else. The Scarlet Woman and I are peculiarly representative of Nuit and Hadit by virtue of our attainments in making our consciousness omniform as They are. It must not be supposed that our original individualities can claim any special prerogatives as such.

18. Burn upon their brows, a splendidous serpent!

THE OLD COMMENT.

18. The serpent is the symbol of divinity and royalty.
It is also a symbol of Hadit, invoked upon them.

THE NEW COMMENT.

For the images in this and the next verse see the
Stèle of Revealing, to which they allude.

The Serpent is the Uraeus, with the powers of Life
and Death, wise, ecstatic, immortal; winged and hooded,
that he may go as a god swiftly and silently. It refers
in this place especially to Hadit.

THE OLD COMMENT.

18. The serpent is the symbol of d
It is also a symbol of Hadit, invoke

THE NEW COMMENT.

For the images in this and the
Stèle of Revealing, to which they a

The Serpent is the Uraeus, wit
and Death, wise, ecstatic, immortal
that he may go as a god swiftly and
in this place especially to Hadit.

18. Burn upon their brows, a splendidous serpent!

THE OLD COMMENT.

18. The serpent is the symbol of divinity and royalty.
It is also a symbol of Hadit, invoked upon them.

THE NEW COMMENT.

For the images in this and the next verse see the
Stele of Revealing, to which they allude.

The Serpent is the Uraeus, with the powers of Life
and Death, wise, ecstatic, immortal; winged and hooded,
that he may go as a god swiftly and silently. It refers
in this place especially to Hadit.

18. O azure-lidded woman, bend upon them!

THE OLD COMMENT.

19. Nuit herself will overshadow them.

THE NEW COMMENT.

These two verses 18, 19, seem to be interpolated by
Aiwaz, invoking the Gods to The Beast and The Scarlet Woman,
perhaps as a formal Consecration.

20. The key of the rituals is in the secret word which I have given unto him.

THE OLD COMMENT.

20. This word is perhaps ABRAHADABRA, the sacred word of 11 letters.

THE NEW COMMENT.

For this word see Appendix. ABRAHADABRA is "The key of the rituals" because it expresses the Magical Formulae of uniting various complementary ideas; especially the Five of the Microcosm with the Six of the Macrocosm.

Asked in letter 31.

I.T.21.

"Now if Hadit = Ab = god, then with Him is Kvit" (LA) nothing. Also this is so with the Adverser unless he concentrates on Hadit as the Adverser is instructed. Yet it is also true that "There is no Man god than me and my Lord Hadit," for looked at one way the god is Kvit and the other Hadit.

The original Cephaloedium working comment ran as follows. This A.C. later decided to relegate to an Appendix, but the appendices have not survived.

'The New Comment'

Abrahadabra is the formula of uniting the macrocosm with and microcosm especially when conceived as the correlatives 5 and 6. The general symbol man being 5 (Pentagram), and of God this 6 (Hexagram), it follows that the formula for the ordinary ritual of initiation is $5 = 6$. The rituals $6 = 5$, $7 = 4, 8 = 3, 9 = 2$ are special developments more advanced because the initial inequality is greater.


Here is a rough analysis of the word ABRAHADABRA

CHITH, Cheth, ABRAHADABRA, the great Magic Word, the Word of the Aeon. Note the 11 letters, 5 A, identical, and 6 diverse. Thus it interlocks Pentagram and Hexagram. EITH Ha, the House of He, the Pentagram; see Idra Zuta Qadisha, 694. 'For H formeth K, but Ch formeth IVD'. Both equal 20.

Note 4 plus I plus 8 = 13, the 4 reduced to 1 through 8, the redeeming force; and 4I8 = Ch = 8.

By Aiq Ekr ABRAHADABRA, I plus 2 plus 2 plus I plus 5 plus I plus 4 plus I plus 2 plus 2 plus I = 22. Also 4I8 = 22 x 19. Manifestation. Hence the word manifests the 22 Keys of the Rota.

It resolves into Pentagram and Hexagram as follows:



(This is by taking the 5 middle letters

The pentagram is 12, HVA, Macroprosopus

The Hexagram is 406, ATHH, Microprosopus

Thus it connotes the Great Work

Note ABR, initials of the Supernals, Ab, Ben, Kuach.



(This is by separating the One -Aleph- from the many -diverse letters)

BRH, 207, Aur, Light
DEB, 206, Deber, Voice.

The Vision and the Voice, a phrase which meant much to me at the moment of discovering this word.

Asked in letter 31.

I.V.21.

"Now if Hadit = Al = god, then with Him is Null" (LA) nothing. Also this is so with the Adverser unless he conceavtates on Hadit as the Adverser as instrovted, yet it is also true that "There is no other god then me and my dard Hadit, for looked at one way the god is Null and the other Hadit".

The original Cephaloedium working Comment ran as follows. This A.C. later decided to relegate to an Appendix, but the appendices have not survived.

'The New Comment'

Abrahadabra is the formula of uniting the macrocosm with and microcosm especially when conceived as the correlatives 5 and 6. The general symbol man being 5 (Pentagram), and of God this 6 (Hexagram), it follows that the formula for the ordinary ritual of initiation is $5 = 6$. The rituals $6 = 7 = 4, 8 = 3, 9 = 2$ are special developments more advanced because the initial inequality is greater.

Here is a rough analysis of the word ABRAHADABRA

CHITH, Gheth. ABRAHADABRA, the great Magic Word, the Word of the Aeon. Note the 11 letters, 5 A, identical, and 6 diverse. Thus it interlocks Pentagram and Hexagram. CHITH Ha, the House of He, the Pentagram; see Idra Zuta Qadisha, 694. 'For H formeth K, but Ch formeth IVD'. Both equal 20.

Note 4 plus I plus 8 = 13, the 4 reduced to I through 8, the redemptive force; and 4I8 = Ch = 8.

By Aiq Ekr ABRAHADABRA, I plus 2 plus 2 plus I plus 5 plus I plus 2 plus I plus 2 plus 2 plus I = 22. Also 4I8 = 22 x 19. Manifestation. Hence the word manifests the 22 Keys of the Rota.

It resolves into Pentagram and Hexagram as follows:

Added in later 31.

I.1.21.

"Now if Hadit = Al = god, then with him is Null" (6A) nothing. Also this is so with the Advers unless he conceavtates on Hadit as the centre as instrovated. Yet it is also true that "There is no New god then me and my Lord Hadit" for looked at one way the god is Null and the other Hadit".

The original Cephaloedium working comment ran as follows. This A.C. later decided to relegate to an appendix, but the appendices have not survived.

'The New Comment'

Abrahadabra is the formula of uniting the macrocosm with and microcosm especially when conceived as the correlatives 5 and 6. The general symbol man being 5 (Pentagram), and of God this 6 (Hexagram), it follows that the formula for the ordinary ritual of initiation is $5 = 6$. The rituals $6 = 5$, $7 = 4, 8 = 3, 9 = 2$ are special developments more advanced because the initial inequality is greater.


Here is a rough analysis of the word AERAHADABRA

CHITH, Cheth. AERAHADABRA, the great Magic Word, the Word of the Aeon. Note the 11 letters, 5 A, identical, and 6 diverse. Thus it interlocks Pentagram and Hexagram. BITH Ha, the House of He, the Pentagram; see Idra Zuta Qadisha, 694. 'For H formeth K, but Ch formeth IVD'. Both equal 20.

Note 4 plus I plus 8 = 13, the 4 reduced to I through 8, the redeeming force; and $4I8 = Ch = 8$.

By Aiq ~~kr~~ AERAHADABRA, I plus 2 plus 2 plus I plus 5 plus I plus 4 plus I plus 2 plus 2 plus I = 22. Also $4I8 = 22 \times 19$. Manifestation. Hence the word manifests the 22 Keys of the Rota.

It resolves into Pentagram and Hexagram as follows:



(This is by taking the 5 middle letters

The pentagram is 12, HVA, Macroprosopus

The Hexagram is 406, ATHH, Microprosopus

Thus it connotes the Great Work

Note ABR, initials of the Supernals, Ab, Ben, Nuach.



(This is by separating the One -Aleph- from the many -diverse letters)

BRH, 207, Aur, Light
DER, 206, Deber, Voice.

The Vision and the Voice, a phrase which meant much to me at the moment of discovering this word.

as of what is not even known - and this that is (the) *knows*?
What are "mortal birth" (mortal) in action & "mortal" (Aka's present)
entity? what art I myself as a man and moreover as
art man is no mortal birth but that is a *divine* birth.

21. With the God and the Adorer I am nothing; they do not

see me. They are as upon the earth; I am Heaven, and

there is no other God than me, and my lord, Hadit.

THE OLD COMMENT.

21. Refers to the actual picture on the stèle. Nuit is a conception immeasurably beyond all men have ever thought of the Divine. Thus she is not the mere star-goddess, but a far higher thing, dimly veiled by that unutterable glory.

This knowledge is also to be attained by adepts; the outer cannot reach to it.

THE NEW COMMENT.

The importance of this verse lies in the assertion of the metaphysical entity of Our Lady, Her incomprehensibility to normal sense.

The Method of invoking Nuit is given in Liber XI (see Equinox I, VII). Note the initials of God and Adorer GA, the Earth.

Note that Heaven is not a place where Gods live; Nuit is Heaven, itself. And "Heaven" is of course "a place wherein one may fulfil oneself", conformably to the definition of Nuit as Space previously offered.

Aisha in Liber 31.

I 11.

"clearly tells us that with her a secret name. This I take to be LA
(or possibly LALA). Also it is written in this word 'Bird nothing' and besides
its obvious sense, this may be an expression of the trinity of Nothing by
concentration on a point. This word birds nothing, as it causes the
concentration of from nothing to sub."

21st



(By taking each alternate letter)

205 GBR, mighty
213 ABIR, mighty.

This shows Abrahadabra as the
Word of Double Power, another
phrase that meant much to me a
the time. AAB at the top of the


Hexagram gives Ab, AIMA, BN; Father, Mother, Child.

HDR by Yetzirah gives Horus, Isis, Osiris, against Father, Mother, Child.
This Hexagram is again the human triad.

Dividing the 3 into 8 we get the Triangle of Horus dominating the
Stopping Dragon of 8 heads; the supernals bursting the Head of Laath



The Supernals are supported upon two squares.



ABAD = DD, Love, 8



AHRA = AVR, Light, 207

Now $8 \times 207 = 1656 = 18 = \text{ChI, Living}$; and $207 = 9 \times 23, \text{ChIH, Life}$.
At this time 'Licht, Liebe, Leben' was the mystic name of the Mother-Temple
of the G.D.

The 5 letters used in the word are A, the Crown; B, the Wand; D the Cup;
H, the Word; R the Rosy Cross; and refer further to Moon, the Father; Thoth,
His Messenger, and Isis, Horus, Osiris, the divine human triad.

Also 418 = ATH IAV. The Essence of IAO, q.v.

This short analysis might be indefinitely expanded; but always the
symbol will remain the expression of the Goal and the Exposition of the
Path.

Boleskine בָּלְשָׁקִין = 418

Peccatum (Est femina Lilith impia) פְּכָכָת = 418

Keth, a fence כְּת = 418

Servans Misericordiam טְעַמְּדָנָה = 418

The Word of the Aeon (Vide Liber 418) מְאֹן = 418


(Sacred pleasure of love etc. see 8, וְיִתְפָּלֵל) = 418

The 'line drawn' in Liber Legis Cap III passes through: S (shape)
t B ty I say f a פְּנִים נִנְבָּא' N II letters: value 418. This word should be a
new plan of the Cosmos as will.

Added in dictn 31.

I 32

"clearly tells us that 'not' has a secret name. This I take to be LA (possibly LALA). Also it is written in this word 'bind nothing' and besides it, in this sense, this may be an expression of the binding of Nothing by concentration on a spirit. This word binds nothing, as it causes the transition of from nothing to God."



(By taking each alternate letter)

205 GBR, mighty

213 ABIR, mighty.

This shows Abrahadabra as the Word of Double Power, another phrase that meant much to me the time. AAB at the top of the


Hexagram gives Ab, AIMA, BN; Father, Mother, Child.

HDR by Yetzirah gives Horus, Isis, Osiris, against Father, Mother, Child. This Hexagram is again the human triad.

Dividing the 3 into 8 we get the Triangle of Horus dominating the Stopping Dragon of 8 heads; the Supernals bursting the Head of Daath



Also The Supernals are supported upon two squares.



ABAD = DD, Love, 8

AHRA = AVR, Light, 207

Now $8 \times 207 = 1656 = 18 = \text{Chi, Living}$; and $207 = 9 \times 23 = \text{ChiH, Life}$. At this time 'Licht, Liebe, Leben' was the mystic name of the Mother-Temple of the G.D.

205 GBR, mighty
213 ABIR, mighty.


This shows Abrahadabra as the Word of Double Power, another phrase that meant much to me at the time. AAB at the top of the


Hexagram gives Ab, AIMA, BN; Father, Mother, Child.

HDR by Yetzirah gives Horus, Isis, Osiris, against Father, Mother, Child. This Hexagram is again the human triad.

Dividing the 3 into 8 we get the Triangle of Horus dominating the Stopping Dragon of 8 heads; the Supernals bursting the Head of Baath

Also  The Supernals are supported upon two squares.

 ABAD = DD, Love, 8

 AHRA = AVR, Light, 207

Now $8 \times 207 = 1656 = 18 = \text{Chi, Living}$; and $207 = 9 \times 23 = \text{ChIH, Life}$. At this time 'Licht, Liebe, Leben' was the mystic name of the Mother-Temple of the G.D.

The 5 letters used in the word are A, the Crown; B, the Wand; D the Cup; H, the Sword; R the Rosy Cross; and refer further to Amoun, the Father; Thoth, His Messenger, and Isis, Horus, Osiris, the divine human triad.

Also 4I8 = ATh IAV. The Essence of IAO, q.v.

This short analysis might be indefinitely expanded; but always the symbol will remain the Expression of the Goal and the Exposition of the Path.

Boleskine בָּוְלֶשְׁקִין = 4I8

Peccatum (Est femina Lilith impia) פְּכָכָת = 4I8

Keth, a fence כְּת = 4I8

Servans Misericordiam טְרַחֲמָן = 4I8

The Word of the Aeon (Vide Liber 4I8) מְאָכָן הָאָזְנוֹן = 4I8


(Sacred pleasure of love etc. see 8) וְאַתְּ וְאַתְּ = 4I8

The 'line drawn' in Liber Legis Cap III passes through: S (shape) t B ty I say f a פְּנִים יְהִוָּה אֱלֹהִים II letters: value 4I8. This word should be a new plan of the Cosmos as Will.

Asked in Letter 31.

I 32

"Clearly still, so that will have a secret name. This I take to be LA
(or possibly LALA). Also it is written in this way 'Bird nothing' and besides
it means more, this may be an expression of the binding of Nothing by
concentration on a point. This word binds nothing, as it causes the
(transition of from Nothing to full.)"



(By taking each alternate letter)
205 GBR, mighty
213 ABIR, mighty.

This shows Abrahadabra as the
Word of Double Power, another
phrase that meant much to me a
the time. AAB at the top of the

Hexagram gives Ab, AIMA, BN; Father, Mother, Child.

HDR by Yetzirah gives Horus, Isis, Osiris, against Father, Mother, Child.
This Hexagram is again the human triad.

Dividing the 3 into 8 we get the Triangle of Horus dominating the
Stopping Dragon of 8 heads; the Supernals bursting the Head of Daath

Also

The Supernals are supported upon two squares.

ABAD = DD, Love, 8

AHRA = AVR, Light, 207

Now $8 \times 207 = 1656 = 18 = \text{ChI, Living; and } 207 = 9 \times 23 = \text{ChIH, Life.}$
At this time 'Licht, Liebe, Leben' was the mystic name of the Mother-Temple
of the G.D.

The 5 letters used in the word are A, the Crown; B, the Wand; D the Cup;
H, the Sword; R the Rosy Cross; and refer further to Amoun, the Father; Thoth,
His Messenger, and Isis, Horus, Osiris, the divine human triad.

Also 418 = ATH IAV. The Essence of IAO, q.v.

This short analysis might be indefinitely expanded; but always the
symbol will remain the expression of the Goal and the Exposition of the
Path.

Boleskine = 418

Peccatum (Est femina Lilith impia) = 418

Keth, a fence

Servans Misericordiam

The Word of the Aeon (Vide Liber 418)

(Sacred pleasure of love etc. see 8)

The 'line drawn' in Liber Legis Cap III passes through: S (shape)

t B ty I say f a II letters: value 418. This word should be a
new plan of the Cosmos as Will.

22. Now therefore I am known to ye by my name Nuit, and to him by a secret name which I will give him when at last he knoweth me. Since I am Infinite Space, and the Infinite Stars thereof, do ye also thus. Bind nothing! Let there be no difference made among you between any one thing and any other thing; for thereby there cometh hurt.

THE OLD COMMENT.

22. A promise - not yet fulfilled. P.S. Since (An V) fulfilled. A charge to destroy the faculty of discriminating between illusions.

THE NEW COMMENT.

We have here a further conception of the cosmographical scheme. Nuit is All that which exists, and the condition of that existence. Hadit is the Principle which causes modifications in this Being. ^{This explains how we may} One night then call Nuit Matter, and Hadit Motion, in the highest physico-philosophical sense of those terms.

We are asked to acquiesce in this Law of Nature. That is, we are not to oppose resistance to the perfect fluidity of the "Becoming" of Nature. Similarly, we are not to at-

D COMMENT.

it fulfilled. P.S. Since (An V) ful-
stroy the faculty of discriminating

N COMMENT.

ther conception of the cosmographi-
cal that which exists, and the condi-

Habit is the Principle which caus-

This explains how we may
s Being. ~~ever night then call Nuit~~

n, in the highest physico-philosoph-

~~the Law of the Cosmos~~

quiesce in this Law of Nature. That
a resistance to the perfect ~~order~~

22. Now therefore I am known to ye by my name Nuit, and to him by a secret name which I will give him when at last he knoweth me. Since I am Infinite Space, and the Infinite Stars thereof, do ye also thus. Bind nothing! Let there be no difference made among you between any one thing and any other thing; for thereby there cometh hurt.

THE OLD COMMENT.

22. A promise - not yet fulfilled. P.S. Since (An V) fulfilled. A charge to destroy the faculty of discriminating between illusions.

THE NEW COMMENT.

We have here a further conception of the cosmographical scheme. Nuit is All that which exists, and the condition of that existence. Hadit is the Principle which causes modifications in this Being. ^{This explains how we may} One night when call Nuit Matter, and Hadit Motion, in the highest physics-philosophical sense of those terms.

We are asked to acquiesce in this Law of Nature. That is, we are not to oppose resistance to the perfect fluidity of the "Becoming" of Nature. Similarly, we are not to at-

201 (cont.)

卷之三

The mysterious word of 8 letters in CCXX, Cap III, the 'secret fourfold word' is --RP, Fire of Sol and Force of Mars = 280
 ST, Fire and Force (Keys add to 35)
 OV, Fire of Devil, Force of Bull = 76
 AL, Fire (III = Ruin, red etc) & Force
 Justice = 31
 = 218

418 Hermes Eimi יְהֹוָהִים Short Nose or Microprosopus = Figure of Microcosm
 in Vitruvius = Baphomet = Babalon
 418 פָּרְצִיבָּאָל Parzival (Note 8 letters. Yod initial 80)
 418 To me The Not
 418 תְּאַוְּתָן - Tau spelt in full a second time
 418 הַטִּיכָּה Hoti, the God of Luck-Chinese

-67 (V 24) solid, 2.0 . Bellini? for You - exhibit A -
solid/white to yellow and yellowish green A . Bellini
phenanthrene

1990-1991 1991-1992

tach more importance to any one momentary appearance than to any other.

For, the moment we do so, we confirm illusion of Duality. We assert Imperfection as absolute instead of as a device of Perfection for self-appreciation.

The Secret name was revealed in the Sahara desert - see Liber 418, 12th Aethyr, Equinox I, V, Suppl. pp. 82-87.

This question of making "no difference" seems quite beyond ordinary human reason. It is discussed at great length in my Magical Diaries for 1920 E.V. ~~the~~ obscure the meaning.

To "make no difference" as ordained is to regard the whole of the non-Ego or universe apparently external to the Self as a single phenomenon; Samadhi on any one thing becomes therefore Samadhi on The Whole. The mystic who "availeth in this" can then perform his Great Work of Love under will in a single operation instead of being obliged to unite himself with the non-Ego piecemeal. But see also the Comment on verse 4, above.

Notice the word "hurt", from the French "heurter", meaning to knock against an obstacle. There is thus a strictly technical accuracy in the choice of the term.

Insert quotations from Essay of An xix March 31 - April 11 showing how all is the same to Nuit, though not to partial views.

The Secret name was revealed in the Sahara desert -
see Liber 418, 12th Aethyr, Equinox I, V, Suppl. pp. 82-87.

This question of making "no difference" seems quite beyond ordinary human reason. It is discussed at great length in my Magical Diaries for 1920 E.V.

To "make no difference" as ordained is to regard the whole of the non-Ego or universe apparently external to the Self as a single phenomenon; Samadhi on any one thing becomes therefore Samadhi on The Whole. The mystic who "avileth in this" can then perform his Great Work of Love under will "in a single operation instead of being obliged to unite himself with the non-Ego piecemeal. But see also the Comment on verse 4, above.

Notice the word "hurt", from the French "heurter", meaning to knock against an obstacle. There is thus a strictly technical accuracy in the choice of the term.

Insert quotations from Essay of An xix March 31 - April 11 showing how all is the same to Nuit, though not to partial views. My discrimination

between the sexes is based on the mercy of a million differences from my son to my wife.

attach more importance to any one momentary appearance than to any other.

For, the moment we do so, we confirm illusion of Duality. We assert Imperfection as absolute instead of as a device of Perfection for self-appreciation.

The Secret name was revealed in the Sahara desert - see Liber 418, 12th Aethyr, Equinox I, V, Suppl. pp. 82-87.

This question of making "no difference" seems quite beyond ordinary human reason. It is discussed at great length in my Magical Diaries for 1920 E.V.

To "make no difference" as ordained is to regard the whole of the non-Ego or universe apparently external to the Self as a single phenomenon; Samadhi on any one thing becomes therefore Samadhi on The Whole. The mystic who "availleth in this" can then perform his Great Work of 'love under will' in a single operation instead of being obliged to unite himself with the non-Ego piecemeal. But see also the Comment on verse 4, above.

Notice the word "hurt", from the French "heurter", meaning to knock against an obstacle. There is thus a strictly technical accuracy in the choice of the term.

Insert quotations from Essay of An xix March 31 - April 11 showing how all is the same to Nuit, though not to partial views.

23. But whose availeth in this, let him be the chief of all.

24. ~~THE OLD COMMENT.~~

23. The chief, then, is he who has destroyed this sense of duality.

~~THE NEW COMMENT.~~

This chief is of course no more or less than others. The limitations of our dualistic language obscure the meaning of these loftier words. Chieftainship is to be understood as one of the illusions; but, in respect of that plane, a fact. The facts of Nature are perfectly true in so far as their mutual relation is concerned; their invalidity refers only to their total relation with the philosophical canon of Truth.

it's first edition.
The word "all" is not to be taken as elliptical for "all men"; it means that such an one is completely master of his universe. For when one has become indifferent to phenomena, and accepts any one of them as necessary, indeed as an essential part of the whole, he has made himself Lord of the Whole as such. In fact, it is obvious on quite rational grounds that this must be the case. My discrimination between artichokes and arsenic puts me at the mercy of a million circumstances, from my cook to my wife.

24. I am Nuit, and my word is six and fifty.

THE OLD COMMENT.

24. Nu 1) = 6 + 50 = 56.

THE NEW COMMENT.

One must observe the special significance of these numbers, not only conjoined, but separate. For 6, Vau, is the Bull; and 50, Num, the Scorpion. But 6 is also the number of the Sun, our Star. The N of Nu is therefore the Dragon - 'Infinite Space' - and V is "the Infinite Stars" thereof. The Th is the honorific termination representing Her fulfilment of Creative Force. "I" being the Innermost Force, and "Th" its Extension.

The Dragon in current symbolism refers to the North or Hollow of Heaven; thus to the Womb of Space, which is the container and breeder of all that exists.

Liber Aleph should be consulted for further information as to the magical import of Scorpio and Taurus.

sof. The ITh is the honorific termina-
lier fulfilment of Creative Force. "I"
Force, and "Th" its Extension.

The Dragon in current symbolism refers
to the Womb of Heaven; thus to the Womb of the
container and breeder of all that exists.
Liber Aleph should be consulted for infor-
as to the magical import of Scorpio a

"No idea the individual in infinity"

sof. The ITh is the honorific termina-
ler fulfilment of Creative Force. "I"
Force, and "Th" its Extension.

The Dragon in current symbolism refers
to the Womb of Heaven; thus to the Womb of s-
container and breeder of all that exists.
Liber Aleph should be consulted for f-
as to the magical import of Scorpio a-

"It hides the individual in infinity."

sof. The ITh is the honorific termina-
ler fulfilment of Creative Force. "I"
Force, and "Th" its Extension.

The Dragon in current symbolism refers
to the Womb of Heaven; thus to the Womb of the
container and breeder of all that exists.
Liber Aleph should be consulted for in-
formation as to the magical import of Scorpio and

"It hides the individual in infinity."

24. I am Nuit, and my word is six and fifty.

THE OLD COMMENT.

24. Nu 1) = 6 + 50 = 56.

THE NEW COMMENT.

One must observe the special significance of these numbers, not only conjoined, but separate. For 6, Vau, is the Bull; and 50, Nun, the Scorpion. But 6 is also the number of the Sun, our Star. The N of Nu is therefore the Dragon - 'Infinite Space' - and V is "the Infinite Stars" thereof. The ITh is the honorific termination representing Her fulfilment of Creative Force, "I" being the Inmost Force, and "Th" its Extension.

The Dragon in current symbolism refers to the North or Hollow of Heaven; thus to the Womb of Space, which is the container and breeder of all that exists.

Liber Aleph should be consulted for further information as to the magical import of Scorpio and Taurus.

"It leads to aortal infinity"

2

Cabalistic Comment to Al.I.25

I am becoming gradually more inclined to look for Greek cabalah as a key to this Book. All the most striking keys truths are in that cipher, chosen no doubt, because I knew absolutely nothing about it.

I have thought of reading this verse 'Divide 56 into (7 2) parts such that by adding them together and then multiplying them (by 56 again) we should get some Arcanum'. Now $14 \times 4 = 56$. 14 plus $4 = 18$. $18 \times 56 = 1008$. 10 cubed plus 2 cubed, a somewhat remarkable number. The sum of the factors of 56 , viz $1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 12, 28, 56, 16, 120$, the True Number of the Rosy Cross. See the account of this number in the ritual (Eqx I 13).

(The above was written Aug 28, 1919 E.V. Today Sept 3 I find ~~that~~ No Alastor (Greek script. T) = 1008. This eight lettered name is therefore foursquare, mystic, wonderful, astounding, that this should come out almost at once after my first finding of 1008. I was looking for quite different thing, the word for 'Restriction'. The root of Alaster or Alistor is apparently Al, meaning to wander, as suggested by the 'flowing' sound of both vowel and consonant. But for AL see appendix.

Dividing 56 as 7, 2, 2, 2, its factors, and adding their sum, 13, and multiplying, we get 728 or 31-666-31, Alostrael, the mystic name of the scarlet woman, the representative of Nut, Lea Mirlig, as bestowed on her by the Wizard Amalantrah, nearly two years before I made this calculation, in XVI Sun in Sagittarius.

Dividing 56 as 28.2 we get $56 \times 30 = 1680$
 do 56 7.8 do $56 \times 15 = 840$
 Of these two numbers I have no special information

Qabalistic Comment to Al.I.25

I am becoming gradually more inclined to look for Greek qabalah as a key to this Book. All the most striking keys truths are in that cipher, chosen no doubt, because I knew absolutely nothing about it

I have thought of reading this verse 'Divide 56 into (12) parts such that by adding them together and then multiplying them (1 by 56 again) we should get some Arcanum'. Now $14 \times 4 = 56$. 14 plus $4 = 18$. $18 \times 56 = 1008$, 10 cubed plus 2 cubed, a somewhat remarkable number. The sum of the factors of 56, viz 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 14, 28, 56 is 120, the True Number of the Rosy Cross. See the account of this number in the ritual (Eqx I, 3)

(The above was written Aug 28, 1919 E.V. Today Sept 3 I find ~~Me-Mack~~ Ho Alastor (Greek script. T) - 1008. This eight lettered name is therefore 'foursquare, mystic, wonderful'. Astonishing, astounding, that this should come out almost at once after my first finding of 1008. I was looking for a quite different thing, the word for 'Restriction'.)

The root of Aleister or Alstor is apparently Al, meaning to wander, as suggested by the 'flowing' sound of both vowel and consonant. But for AL see appendix.

Dividing 56 as 7, 2, 2, 2, its factors, and adding their sum, 13, and multiplying, we get 728 or 31-666-31, Alostrael, the mystic name of the scarlet woman, the representative of Nuit, Léa Hirsig, as bestowed on her by the Wizard Amalantrah, nearly two years before I made this calculation, an XVI Sun in Sagittarius.

Dividing 56 as 28.2 we get $56 \times 30 = 1680$

do 56 7.8 do $56 \times 15 = 840$

Of these two numbers I have no special information

cabalistic Comment to Al.I.25

I am becoming gradually more inclined to look for Greek caballah as a Key to this book. All the most striking keys truths are in that cipher, chosen no doubt, because I knew absolutely nothing about it. I have thought of reading this verse, Divide 56 into (1, 2) parts such that by adding them together and then multiplying them (by 56 again) we should get some Arcanum. Now $14 \times 4 = 56$. 14 plus $4 = 18$. $18 \times 56 = 1008$, 10 cubed plus 2 cubed, a somewhat remarkable number. The sum of the factors of 56, viz. 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 14, 28, 56 is 120, the True Number of the Rosy Cross. See the account of this number in the ritual (Eqx I, 3).

(The above was written Aug 28, 1919 E.V. Today Sept 3 I find ~~Ho Alastor~~ ~~Ho Alastor~~ (Greek script. T) = 1008. This eight lettered name is therefore foursquare, mystic, wonderful. Astonishing, astounding, that this should come out almost at once after my first finding of 1008. I was looking for quite different thing, the word for 'Restriction'. The root of Aleister or Alator is apparently Al, meaning to wander, as suggested by the 'flowing' sound of both vowel and consonant. But for Al see appendix.

Dividing 56 as 7, 2, 2, 2, its factors, and adding their sum, 13, and multiplying, we get 728 or 31-666-31. Alastrel, the mystic name of the scarlet woman, the representative of Mult, Lea Hirslig, as bestowed on her by the Wizard Amalantrah, nearly two years before I made this calculation, an XVI Sun in Sagittarius.

Dividing 56 as 28-2 we get $56 \times 30 = 1680$
do 56 7-8 do $56 \times 15 = 840$

Of these two numbers I have no special information

25. Divide, add, multiply, and understand.

THE OLD COMMENT.

25. Dividing $\frac{6}{50} = 0.12$.

0, the circumference, Nuit.

., the centre, Hadit.

1, the Unity proceeding, Ra-Hoor-Khuit.

2, the Coptic H, whose shape closely resembles the Arabic figure 2, the breath of Life, inspired and expired. Human consciousness, Thoth.

Adding, $50 + 6 = 56$, Nu, and

Concentrating, $5+6=11$, Abrahadabra, etc.

Multiplying $50 \times 6 =$ Shin, and Ruach Elchim, the Holy Spirit.

I am inclined to believe that there is a further mystery concealed in this verse, possibly those of 418 and 666 again.

THE NEW COMMENT.

See Qabalistic Appendix.

This 'Who am I?' is answered. 'The Great Beast', as in truth I ~~am~~
know even in childhood.

Note well the nature of Her ecstasy. It is the resolution of all the
knots of illusion into Simplicity. The dewdrop slips into the shining
sea. It is of the first importance for the aspirant to seek to grasp ~~to~~
this Ether-conception of omnipresence without a break or flaw.

It is curious to note that 'Thou knowest' in Greek begins signifi-
cantly with the first six letters! The answer was thus given secretly, as in a
riddle, a far more convincing proof of Her knowledge than if a straight
forward answer, 'The Beast' or '666' had been given, for this name or
number could have been in the mind of the hearer.

This 'Who am I?' is answered 'The Great Beast', as in truth I ~~am~~
know even in childhood.

Note well the nature of Her ecstasy. It is the resolution of all the
knots of illusion into Simplicity. 'The dewdrop slips into the shining
sea'. It is of the first importance for the aspirant to seek to grasp ~~to~~
this Ether-conception of ~~omnipresence~~ without a break or flaw.

It is curious to note that 'Thou knowest' in Greek begins sign-
666. The first six letters! The answer was thus given secretly, as in a
riddle, a far more convincing proof of Her knowledge than if a straight
forward answer, 'The Beast' or '666' had been given, for this name or
number could have been in the mind of the hearer.

This 'Who am I?' is answered. 'The Great Beast', as in truth I ~~am~~
know even in childhood.

Note well the nature of Her ecstasy. It is the resolution of all the
knots of illusion into Simplicity. The dewdrop slips into the shining
sea. It is of the first importance for the aspirant to seek to grasp ~~the~~
this Ether-conception of ~~omnipresence~~ without a break or flaw.

It is curious to note that 'Thou knowest' in Greek begins sugign-
666. The first six letters! The answer was thus given secretly, as in a
riddle, a far more convincing proof of Her knowledge than if a straightforward
answer, 'The Beast' or '666' had been given, for this name or
number could have been in the mind of the hearer.

(303.9 2021 p'3607)

eddy a to exia oldies and ob simili regis na ed tanu sign
 26. Then said the prophet and slave of the beauteous one:
Who am I, and what shall be the sign? So she answered
him, bending down, a lambent flame of blue, all-touch-
ing, all-penetrant, her lovely hands upon the black
earth, & her lithe body arched for love, and her soft
feet not hurting the little flowers: Thou knowest! And
the sign shall be my ecstasy, the consciousness of the
continuity of existence, the omnipresence of my body.

THE OLD COMMENT.

26. The prophet demanding a sign of his mission, it is promised: a Samadhi upon the Infinite.

This promise was later fulfilled - see "The Temple of Solomon the King", which proposes to deal with the matter in its due season. (P.S. It did so, vide Equinox I.)

THE NEW COMMENT.

In the MSS., the last 5 words of this verse do not occur. The original reading is 'the atomic fact of my universality'. (Hand of Haldy, *ob regantur*)

This phrase was totally beyond the comprehension of the scribe, and he said mentally, "People will never be able to understand this". Aiwass then replied,

earth, & her lithe body arched for love, and her soft
feet not hurting the little flowers: Thou knowest! And
the sign shall be my ecstasy, the consciousness of the
continuity of existence, the omnipresence of my body.

THE OLD COMMENT.

26. The prophet demanding a sign of his mission, it is promised: a Samadhi upon the Infinite.

This promise was later fulfilled - see "The Temple of Solomon the King", which proposes to deal with the matter in its due season. (P.S. It did so, vide Equinox I.)

THE NEW COMMENT.

In the MSS., the last 5 words of this verse do not occur. The original reading is 'the atomic fact of my universality'. (Hand of Hadel, ~~but also~~ "arrogant")

This phrase was totally beyond the comprehension of the scribe, and he said mentally, "People will never be able to understand this". Aiwass then replied,

earth, & her little body arched for love, and her soft
feet not hunting the little flowers: Thou knowest! And
the sign shall be my ecstasy, the consciousness of the
continuity of existence, the omnipresence of my body.

I take this ~~quoting from Professor E.~~
THE OLD COMMENT.

26. The prophet demanding a sign of his mission, it is
promised: a Samadhi upon the Infinite.

This promise was later fulfilled - see "The Temple of
Solomon the King", which proposes to deal with the matter
in its due season. (P.S. It did so, vide Equinox I.)

~~mine own - of~~
THE NEW COMMENT.

In the MSS., the last 5 words of this verse do not occur. The original reading is 'the atomic fact of my universality'. (Hand of Hadeb, ~~that stood~~ "airgozantay")

This phrase was totally beyond the comprehension of
the scribe, and he said mentally, "People will never be able
to understand this". Aiwass then replied,

(See back of 205)

e was later fulfilled - see "The Temple", which proposes to deal with the matter. (P.S. It did so, vide Equinox I.)

THE NEW COMMENT.

the last 5 words of this verse do not
al reading is 'the atomic fact of my
(hand of blade, ~~of~~ ^{about} "so fragmentary,

was totally beyond the comprehension - with characteristic self-conceit he said mentally, "People will never b
is". Aiwass then replied,

(doc. e mort 6'360)

edolig a lo exia ellinagon eni ej tifili uscun ne ed. Jaum stand
 to seem when said a two adams. I say with pleasure to
 26. Then said the prophet and slave of the beauteous one:
I am I, and what shall be the sign? So she answered
him, bending down, a lambent flame of blue, all-touch-
ing, all-penetrant, her lovely hands upon the black
earth, & her lithe body arched for love, and her soft
feet not hurting the little flowers: Thou knowest! And
the sign shall be my ecstasy, the consciousness of the
continuity of existence, the omnipresence of my body.

THE OLD COMMENT.

26. The prophet demanding a sign of his mission, it is promised: a Samadhi upon the Infinite.

This promise was later fulfilled - see "The Temple of Solomon the King", which proposes to deal with the matter in its due season. (P.S. It did so, vide Equinox I.)

THE NEW COMMENT.

In the MSS., the last 5 words of this verse do not occur. The original reading is 'the atomic fact of my universality'. (Hand of Akash) *(but not "universality")*

This phrase was totally beyond the comprehension of the scribe, and he said mentally, "People will never be able to understand this". Aiwass then replied,

? - let so dark.

"Write this in whiter words. But go forth on."

He was willing that the phrase should be replaced by an equivalent, but did not wish the dictation to be interrupted by a discussion at the moment. It was therefore altered to "the omnipresence of my body".

OKN.2
It is extremely interesting to note that in the light of the cosmic theory explained in the notes to verse 3 and 4, the original phrase of Aiwass was exquisitely and exactly appropriate to his meaning.

I take this opportunity of quoting from Professor Eddington, Op.Cit., a passage which should make it perfectly clear that the "mystical", "irrational" "paradoxical" conception of Nuit expressed in this chapter has a parallel in the sober calculations of a perfectly orthodox astronomer in the undeniably practical University - a poor thing, but mine own - of Cambridge.

"Wherever there is matter there is action and therefore curvature; and it is interesting to notice that in ordinary matter the curvature of the space-time world is by no means insignificant. For example, in water of ordinary density the curvature is the same as that of space in the form of a sphere of radius 570,000,000 kilometers. The result is even more surprising if expressed in time units; the radius is about half-an-hour.

"It is difficult to picture quite what this means; but at least we can predict that a globe of water 570,000,000 km. radius would have extraordinary properties. Presumably

(See back of 205)

? - not so dark. (Part 2)

"Write this in whiter words. But go forth on."

He was willing that the phrase should be replaced by an equivalent, but did not wish the dictation to be interrupted by a discussion at the moment. It was therefore altered ^(a little later) to "the omnipresence of my body".

It is extremely interesting to note that in the light of the cosmic theory explained in the notes to verse 3 and 4, the original phrase of Aiwass was exquisitely and exactly appropriate to his meaning

I take this opportunity of quoting from Professor Eddington, Op.Cit., a passage which should make it perfectly clear that the "mystical", "irrational" "paradoxical" conception of Nuit expressed in this chapter has a parallel in

? - not so dark.

"Write this in whiter words. But go forth on."

He was willing that the phrase should be replaced by an equivalent, but did not wish the dictation to be interrupted by a discussion at the moment. It was therefore altered to "the omnipresence of my body".

okn?
It is extremely interesting to note that in the light of the cosmic theory explained in the notes to verse 3 and 4, the original phrase of Aiwass was exquisitely and exactly appropriate to his meaning

I take this opportunity of quoting from Professor Eddington, Op.Cit., a passage which should make it perfectly clear that the "mystical", "irrational" "paradoxical" conception of Nuit expressed in this chapter has a parallel in the sober calculations of a perfectly orthodox astronomer in the undeniably practical University - a poor thing, but mine own - of Cambridge.

"Wherever there is matter there is action and therefore curvature; and it is interesting to notice that in ordinary matter the curvature of the space-time world is by no means insignificant. For example, in water of ordinary density the curvature is the same as that of space in the form of a sphere of radius 570,000,000 kilometers. The result is even more surprising if expressed in time units; the radius is about half-an-hour.

"It is difficult to picture quite what this means; but at least we can predict that a globe of water 570,000,000 km. radius would have extraordinary properties. Presumably

(See back of 205)

(Cont'd from p.206)

there must be an upper limit to the possible size of a globe of water. So far as I can make out a homogeneous mass of water of about this size (and no larger) could exist. It would have no centre, and no boundary, every point of it being in the same position with respect to the whole mass as every other point of it - like points on the surface of a sphere with respect to the surface. Any ray of light after travelling for an hour or two would come back to the starting point. Nothing could enter or leave the mass, because there is no boundary to enter or leave by; in fact, it is coextensive with space. There could not be any other world anywhere else because there isn't an 'anywhere else'. "The mass of this volume of water is not so great as the most moderate estimates of the mass of the stellar system."

(Cont'd from p.206)

there must be an upper limit to the possible size of a globe of water. So far as I can make out a homogeneous mass of water of about this size (and no larger) could exist. It would have no centre, and no boundary, every point of it being in the same position with respect to the whole mass as every other point of it - like points on the surface of a sphere with respect to the surface. Any ray of light after travelling for an hour or two would come back to the starting point. Nothing could enter or leave the mass, because there is no boundary to enter or leave by; in fact, it is coextensive with space. There could not be any other world anywhere else because there isn't an 'anywhere else'. "The mass of this volume of water is not so great as the most moderate estimates of the mass of the stellar system."

(Cont'd from p.206)

there must be an upper limit to the possible size of a globe of water. So far as I can make out a homogeneous mass of water of about this size (and no larger) could exist. It would have no centre, and no boundary, every point of it being in the same position with respect to the whole mass as every other point of it - like points on the surface of a sphere with respect to the surface. Any ray of light after travelling for an hour or two would come back to the starting point. Nothing could enter or leave the mass, because there is no boundary to enter or leave by; in fact, it is coextensive with space. There could not be any other world anywhere else because there isn't an 'anywhere else'. The mass of this volume of water is not so great as the most moderate estimates of the mass of the stellar system."

27. Then the priest answered and said unto the Queen of Space, kissing her lovely brows, and the dew of her light bathing his whole body in a sweet smelling perfume of sweat: O Muit, continuous one of Heaven, let it be ever thus: that men speak not of Thee as One but as None; and let them speak not of thee at all, since thou art continuous!

THE OLD COMMENT.

27 - 31. Here is a profound philosophical dogma, in a sense possibly an explanation and illumination of the propositions in "Berashith".

The dyad (or universe) is created with little pain in order to make the bliss of dissolution possible. Thus the pain of life may be atoned for by the bliss of death.

This delight is, however, only for the chosen servants of Nu. Outsiders may be looked on much as the Cartesians looked on animals. Yet, of course, this is only on the plane of illusion. One must not discriminate between the space-marks. (P.S. The Christian is one who has acquiesced in his own dishonour; a renegade from manhood.)

THE NEW COMMENT.

The attempt to resolve All into One is a philosophical blunder. It explains nothing; neither how One came to be, nor how Two came to be. The only sound conception is that of 'Zero not extended' with a phase of 'Something' ("0° = X") which makes the answer to both questions self-evident.

The physical description of the onset of this ecstasy refers to the actual facts at the period of receiving this knowledge.

The idea 'One' is intelligible enough as the result of the resolution of Two. But in itself it is meaningless because of the absence of any co-ordinates. A point can have no qualities except as it is related to a second point. It is only 'high' if there be another which is 'low'. It cannot even be said to exist unless there be something which does not exist.

Note the word 'continuous' repeated. It suggests the "continuum" of modern mathematical philosophy.

On the other hand, the constitution of Nuit is 'atomic' (verse 26) or discontinuous. She is in fact the reconciliation of these contradictory ideas. It is important for us to grasp the philosophical situation ^{af}formly; and this de-

rian and

Rutherford

pp. 61

The attention

blunder. It

nor how two cases

of 'Zero net

which makes the

The physical

refers to the

knowledge.

The idea

of the resolution

because of the

have no qualit

first division
first know

Based on a reading of the original text, the following analysis is given.

Plan and relationship constitute should be noted.

IIA

THE NEW COMMENT.

The attempt to resolve All into One is a philosophical blunder. It explains nothing; neither how One came to be, nor how Two came to be. The only sound conception is that of 'Zero not extended' with a phase of 'Something' ("0° = X") which makes the answer to both questions self-evident.

The physical description of the onset of this ecstasy refers to the actual facts at the period of receiving this knowledge.

*from 1911
N.Y. time*

The idea 'One' is intelligible enough as the result of the resolution of Two. But in itself it is meaningless because of the absence of any co-ordinates. A point can have no qualities except as it is related to a second point. It is only 'high' if there be another which is 'low'. It cannot even be said to exist unless there be something which does not exist.

Note the word 'continuous' repeated. It suggests the "continuum" of modern mathematical philosophy.

On the other hand, the constitution of Nuit is 'atomic' (verse 26) or discontinuous. She is in fact the reconciliation of these contradictory ideas. It is important for us to grasp the philosophical situation ^{of} ~~formly~~; and this de-

mands a somewhat close analysis. The definitions of Cantorian and Dedekindian continuity should be sought in Bertrand Russell, Op.Cit.; it is sufficient here to explain that by the continuity of *Nuit* I conceive conditions similar to those of the sphere of water described in the quotation in the note to verse 25. Any point in this sphere would be indistinguishable from any other point in a certain sense; or at least the distinction might be considered as arbitrary and illusory. Yet there is no reason why we should not choose to fix our attention on any particular point or system of points for the purpose of amusing ourselves - analogously to the explanation above put forward (notes on vv 3 & 4) of incarnation. The constitution of our illusion will evidently be atomic. The facts that $\aleph_0 - n - \aleph_0$, and that the subtraction of (a) the inductive numbers, (b) the inductive numbers greater than n , (c) the odd numbers, from \aleph_0 give respectively zero, n and \aleph_0 as the result, do not interfere with the finite character of the relation between n and $n + 1$. The transfinite properties of \aleph_0 do not destroy the atomic character of the series of which it is the sum.

Let us investigate the nature of existing ideas a little more closely. First of all, *Nuit*, being the totality of possibilities of Form, is not only one series, but the sum of all series. We are justified in conceiving any collection

of ideas soever as a homologous series, for we have the right to choose the function which will serve to arrange them as our design requires. To protest that such a choice is arbitrary, fantastic or irrational is to assert the authority of some self-appointed "normal mind" as absolute in Nature. The failure of philosophers to transcend their own mental limitations has reduced all their systems to circular arguments, and all their ontologies to Solipsism, however elaborately they have endeavoured to cloke the fact with sophistries. You cannot tie a true knot in a cord with a closed circuit. All knowledge is relative to the mind which contains it.

Consider "incommensurable" numbers, such as 1 and $\sqrt{2}$. This coy surd is insensible to the fascinations of the deftest Dedekindian Cut. It may be approached within limits as narrow as we choose to appoint; yet there remains a "great gulf fixed" which is utterly impassible. The surd is simply not in the series; you might as well try to find Consciousness by making ^microtome sections of the brain. Yet the relation between 1 and $\sqrt{2}$ is perfectly clear and simple; there is no incommensurability about it at all. It is (for one thing) the ratio of the hypotenuse of a right-angled isosceles triangle to one of the other sides, in Euclidian geometry. The difficulty of commensuration can exist only in minds obsessed by the atavistic necessity of

counting cowries or wives on the fingers.

Let me then maintain that such collections as "The thoughts of a man's lifetime" constitute a series in the same sense as the inductive numbers. This collection conforms perfectly with Peano's 'ideas' and 'propositions'. Every thought is a thing in itself; it is determined by its predecessors and determines its successors; it is concatenated with them by "psychological time". Briefly, it fulfils every condition required by the definition. (The "recurrence" of a thought is no objection, for the identity is superficial, like that of a digit in a long decimal. "My aunt", whom I now think of, is not the aunt I thought of last year, any more than the 4 in the second place of .0494 is the same as that in the fourth place.)

Any thought in this series possesses a chain of sub-thoughts which connect it with its neighbours; these may be discovered by the proper psychological methods. "The words of the insane are mountain-tops"; two successive thoughts may be compared to two snow summits rising above cloud-banks; they are not isolated, but joined by certain geologically necessary formations. But each pair of such sub-thoughts may be similarly investigated, and so on ad infinitum. Each thought is inevitably itself, although it is related to all other possible thoughts. There are no two

thoughts of which we can say that one either merges into, or necessarily begets, the other. Any series of thoughts is therefore a true inductive series, exactly as the "natural numbers" are, with ^{the} added properties that it is real and uniform. It is atomic, its elements being intrinsically individual; and yet a continuum, since its intervals are susceptible of subdivision indefinitely prolonged without producing any diminution of these properties of the original series. The difference between successive thoughts and successive numbers is that by inserting r terms between p and q - $p:p+\alpha:p+2\alpha:\dots:p+(r-1)\alpha:q$ - we apparently approximate the members, so that $p-q > (p-2\alpha)-(p+\alpha)$; while the sub-thoughts which intervene between my impression on waking "A fine frosty morning" and my reaction "I'll go skating" come to me from very various departments of my mind, and no two of them are in any way more closely connected than their culmination in consciousness is to its forerunner. But this difference is in reality an illusion born of the obsession already diagnosed: 2 is nearer to 1 and to 3 than 3 is to 1 only in respect of one particular function. Full comprehension of the true nature of number, as conceived by this Book, should enable the mind to transcend its "normal" trammels.

It will no doubt be objected that these speculations, even if correct, are sterile; or, even worse, discouraging to that study of the relations between phenomena which has been the basis of all advance in knowledge.

I might deny the reality of the progress, since it has only exposed the self-contradictions, and emphasized the mysteries, which beset us. But I prefer to take my stand on the ground that we have been totally wrong, hitherto, in our fundamental attitude to the Universe. The only possible issue from the vicious circle wherein we are penned is to refuse resolutely to allow ourselves to accept (1) the evidence of our senses, (2) the pleadings of our minds, (3) the reactions between phenomena as tokens of Truth. All objects are equally capable of conveying any given impression to us; it is merely a question of arranging the conditions of the experiments. We can add or subtract any conceivable quality at will. Thus, "there is no difference"; and each existence is inscrutably itself. We are only the more deceived as it multiplies its Protean projections.

Our proper course is to destroy the instruments of perception which we at present possess, recognizing that they are no more than personal prejudices which limit and delude us in every way. Our senses assure us that the earth is

flat, and that the Sun moves across it, until we amend their assertions by the aid of instruments, and of reason. Yet the astronomer with his telescope is no less arbitrary than the cave-man with his eye. We are like the Snark in the Barrister's Dream, witnesses, lawyers, and judge in one. We have no standard independent of ourselves; and we know only too well that our witnesses, the senses, are neither competent, clear, trustworthy, intelligent, or even capable of giving evidence on the actual issues.

The mind is in even worse plight. Obviously, its judgments must be based on its own laws, and we have no shadow of reason for supposing that these possess any authority beyond their ^{own} jurisdiction. We know that the structure of the brain has been determined by the animal struggle to survive: it is adapted to the conditions of environment. It is the serf of brute passions, the ape of atavism, the dupe of sense, and the automaton of accident. We have no right to assert that its internal reactions correspond to the external world in any way whatever. Officially recognized thinkers are only just beginning to realize what mystics have known since the Morning Star glimmered through the haze on the horizon of History, that the Laws of Thought are only expressions of the bondage of the thinker. Apart from the dependence of mind upon the unreliable, symbolically com-

minated, and fragmentary affidavits of sense, apart from the imperfections inseparable from its origin, our judgments are necessarily no more than representations of the consistency of one part of our internal structure with another. We cannot lift ourselves by pulling at our toes. We now know that our most fixed axioms are as arbitrary as a madman's delusions. There is nothing to prevent a man from asserting that "Things which are both equal to the same thing are both greater than each other" and constructing a geometry conformable thereto: neither by reasoning nor by experience could it be proved that his system was not the "truth" of Nature. More, the word "truth" itself has proved on analysis to contain no intelligible significance, but to be an empirical symbol of what can only be described as symptoms of cerebral inadequacy.

Still worse, even so far as the conclusions of reason express the relations of an animal with itself, they disclose not the consistency which is the test of the fulfilment of this limited function, but an inherent self-contradiction which shatters the validity of the entire process. For the "Law of Contradiction" is the Court of Final Appeal which has been the authority for every step. I quote once more from the Hon. Bertrand Russell, Op.Cit.;

"The comprehensive class we are considering, which is to embrace everything, must embrace itself as one of its members. In other words, if there is such a thing as "everything", then "everything" is something, and is a member of the class "everything". But normally a class is not a member of itself. Mankind, for example, is not a man. Form now the assemblage of all classes which are not members of themselves. This is a class: is it a member of itself or not? If it is, it is one of those classes that are not members of themselves, i.e. it is a member of itself. Thus of the two hypotheses - that it is, and that it is not, a member of itself - each implies its contradictory. This is a contradiction.

"There is no difficulty in manufacturing similar contradictions ad lib."

This author, perhaps the mightiest mind of its type now living, proceeds gallantly to go "over the top". But he is always, sooner or later, drowned in the "blood" of a new contradiction, or the "~~mark~~" of mystery. He finds himself constantly compelled to assume some axiom which has been proved to be incapable of being proved, or crushed by the certainty that even in the event of his proving all his propositions, the sum of their statement amounts to this, that, so far as he is anybody or anything, he is himself.

Professor Eddington, in the masterly exposition of modern thought already quoted, presents, clearly enough, the case against supposing that any phenomenon soever is a "fact" in any absolute sense.

Each account of it must be incomplete, symbolic, and

and variable with the position and faculties of the observer.

"By his theory of relativity, Albert Einstein has provoked a revolution of thought in physical science.

The achievement consists essentially in this: - Einstein has succeeded in separating far more completely than hitherto the share of the observer and the share of external nature in the things we see happen. The perception of an object by an observer depends on his own situation and circumstances; for example, distance will make it appear smaller and dimmer. We make allowance for this almost unconsciously in interpreting what we see. But it now appears that the allowance made for the motion of the observer has hitherto been too crude - a fact overlooked because in practice all observers share merely the same motion, that of the earth. Physical space and time are found to be closely bound up with this motion of the observer; and only an amorphous combination of the two is left inherent in the external world. When space and time are relegated to their proper source - the observer - the world of nature which remains appears strangely unfamiliar; but it is in reality simplified, and the underlying unity of the principal phenomena from this new outlook have, with one doubtful exception, been confirmed when tested by experiment."

I must confess that I was amazed with every amazement when so the eminent astronomer failed to follow up this brilliant outburst by turning the devastation of his artillery upon the ramparts of the citadel whose outlying defences he had shattered with such stupendous thunderbolts. How came it that the very act of detecting so subtly, and removing so skilfully, the mote in his neighbour's eye, did not suggest to him that he might be incommoded by the beam in his own? Aware of the errors introduced into his calculations by the comparatively steady, regular, and impercept-

16 with no leader

ible motion of his earth-borne body, how not to be stricken
aghast to contemplate the possible consequences of taking,
as a fixed and absolute point for the base of his triangula-
tions, an unknown and uncontrollable engine in violent, er-
ratic and incalculable action, neither to be mastered nor
measured, his mind? Who dare presume to set limits to the
eccentricities of a brain which is the logical conclusion
for a love-harried, witch-burning, god-fearing, fox-hunting,
cannibal-ape, spiced with tubercle, syphilis, insanity and
the rest of the poisons for one premiss, and an unintelligi-
ble and accidental environment for the other? Is not every
thought determined, and its validity indeterminable, espe-
cially by its owner? Who then shall decide what "trust-
worthy reasoning" may mean?

At the very least, we must eliminate as far as possible
every obvious source of error, such as personality (in par-
ticular) involves. But further, we must regulate the motion
of the mind, control it, bring it to a standstill. It may
be - I know that it is - that as soon as thought is prevent-
ed from bewildering us with its torrential turmoil, we may
become aware that we possess a subtler and steadier organ
of apprehension. This is in fact one of the principal
points of initiation.

of course, many more. But let us suppose that these five are all. Call the man in his office

16 w/2 in back

ibible motion of his earth-borne body, how not to be stricken
aghast to contemplate the possible consequences of taking,
as a fixed and absolute point for the base of his triangula-
tions, an unknown and uncontrollable engine in violent, er-
ratic and incalculable action, neither to be mastered nor
measured, his mind? Who dare presume to set limits to the
eccentricities of a brain which is the logical conclusion
for a love-harried, witch-burning, god-fearing, fox-hunting,
cannibal-ape, spiced with tubercle, syphilis, insanity and
the rest of the poisons for one premiss, and an unintelligi-
ble and accidental environment for the other? Is not every
thought determined, and its validity indeterminable, espe-
cially by its Ominder? Who then shall decide what "trust-
worthy reasoning" may mean?

At the very least, we must eliminate as far as possible
every obvious source of error, such as personality (in par-
ticular) involves. But further, we must regulate the motion
of the mind, control it, bring it to a standstill. It may
be - I know that it is - that as soon as thought is prevent-
ed from bewildering us with its torrential turmoil, we may
become aware that we possess a subtler and steadier organ
of apprehension. This is in fact one of the principal
points of initiation.

ible motion of his earth-borne body, how not to be stricken aghast to contemplate the possible consequences of taking, as a fixed and absolute point for the base of his triangulations, an unknown and uncontrollable engine in violent, erratic and incalculable action, neither to be mastered nor measured, his mind? Who dare presume to set limits to the eccentricities of a brain which is the logical conclusion for a love-harried, witch-burning, god-fearing, fox-hunting, cannibal-ape, spiced with tubercle, syphilis, insanity and the rest of the poisons for one premiss, and an unintelligible and accidental environment for the other? Is not every thought determined, and its validity indeterminable, especially by its Omniller? Who then shall decide what "trustworthy reasoning" may mean?

At the very least, we must eliminate as far as possible every obvious source of error, such as personality (in particular) involves. But further, we must regulate the motion of the mind, control it, bring it to a standstill. It may be - I know that it is - that as soon as thought is prevented from bewildering us with its torrential turmoil, we may become aware that we possess a subtler and steadier organ of apprehension. This is in fact one of the principal points of initiation.

Noted in letter 31.

I.v.

so He is also at the first breath of Not (LA) the luminous light which concentrates upon Kether which is ONE or Hades, or AL and parts the first faint idea of duality which is called to accomplish the first and quiescent step of genesis which shows forth in the Word Pater. but which being composed of the ideas of Nothing - almighty and the bound and not being excludes the boxil as one and One is really one.

The duality of enigma and duality has invasions as, namely the Hades is really uninvaded, and can only be imagined as the ultimate point of division between all of creation (not the Malachim) but this point of light at that the point where LA changes into AL, for the H is the Secret centre, and of assimilation of opposing ends one finds after separation in order to get a somewhat clearer idea of must consider H as dual, viz. almighty (original) and Hades mixed as the self-contraries LALA, then AL shows up as the centre. In this case it is strength and worth, self-sacrifice, unself-sacrifice, and self-sacrifice, self-sacrifice, & yet noting that we have a word with the sound of daikat or a right, and I don't think the spelling matters for see ch III "spelling is default" it is the sound that counts as this seems to be not enclosed and to have only that matters. I cannot help thinking ALLA in the same way produced and prove for almighty and not uninvaded invasions this self was concealed in Allah.

-equo, almighty and (visible) and (unvisible) almighty
-deum "dark shadow-almighty world of" "unseen" and "visible"

Please see "uninvaded vision addressed as not as enigma from the angel given us A

-the inf) (uninvaded as now, nor to address any idea given us
nothing and enigma from the angelic sun angel (visible)
you see I like this a as al shahid, al lodiness, him and to
the form of almighty as now as shahid - as al shahid would I - as
you see, almighty invasions as almighty as uninvaded must be
nothing nothing this visibility a meaning of said events enclosed
involving as the one root of al shahid angelic invasions to
nothing nothing to nothing

Attack in Libra 31.

五

He first breath of N&C (6A) the dimpled light which concentrates upon Kether which is ONE or Hadith, or AL and gives the first faint idea of duality - similar to seerupanees old thing entwiningness of feelings which shows forth in the Word Pattr - but which being composed of the ideas of Nothing - a sluggish stiff to said stiff not being able to find a place and One is really one.

-dans" jaw effeet-lichaamlijf om **trekkende** azi vd vleislo-

Frage van "minnaar vijftow"

also a possibility that the same may be true in the case of the JAH.

notion of the *essence* of man, and the *essence* of man (which

you still maintain a lot of the original, the original, basic end to

- chevetq ai sfignori as noce an Jari - si si Jari vom I - ed

placed in Libr 31.

五

no Heidegger has the first breaking of Not (No). The diminutio (light) which concentrates upon Körper which is one or Heidegger, or AL and gives the first faint idea of duality resulting in Technoparadise and sea and the method of jealousy which shows forth in the word Paradise - but which being composed of the idea of Nothing - distinguishes self in dark and not trying overload into being as us and one is really one.

The faculty at engine 6121000000 has informed me, Arnold
You Hadit is really uninformed, and can only be imagined as the ultimate point
you considered of wisdom, because Hadit has lost
of light at that point where LA changes into AL, for the AL is the Secret centre.
and of course you can't think off a birth and formation
in order to get a somewhat clearer idea we must consider it in dual, viz
Muhammad had a dual birth, which is to split into
LA, then AL shows up as the centre. In this case it is strong and worth
mentioning that AL has a word with the word of Laith or Right, and I don't
know whether this is the case, or not, but I think it is, and I think it is
the spelling matters for see ch III, spelling is "defunct". It is the sound
of Laith, and Laith is the name of the prophet, and he is the son of
that matters. I cannot help thinking ALLA in the same way produced and
was concealed in Allah.

-JAMES* now abloch-LLan-ndd on Trefethen not yd yllalo

1990-1991 *Summer Session*

...más tarde, en 1971 se estableció como "Fondos para la

—**100. art.** **U**tilizareo es dous terce lo enuoa suuido yne
neios es ojueget com o, indjui daz sevloval (seuicis

van de *Leucanthemum* en *St. John's wort*, beide enige

* LEADERS OF STUDENTS MUST BE JAIL. LEADERS OF STUDENTS WORK. * 80.

“*It is a good idea to have a well-organized menu in*

Elenco italiano dei titoli e nomi dei fatti storici antichi

卷之三十一 附錄